

Guidelines for Conversion of Non-Residential Land Uses

August 4, 2004

Intent:

In response to pressures to convert portions of the City's non-residential land use inventory the City has developed the following guidelines for the consideration of land use changes. The intent of the guidelines is to articulate the City's expectations relating to land use change proposals. These guidelines are to be applied as performance measures in the preparation and review of any such proposals. The guidelines are not intended to be inclusive of all City development requirements, but rather supplement those requirements by identifying or emphasizing concepts particularly important or unique to potential land use changes. It is expected that the City's emphasis on certain concepts or requirements will change overtime. Therefore, the guidelines are to be a fluid document to be revisited every two years and updated to reflect the City's present need.

In consideration of the unique attributes and constraints of various areas of the City, the guidelines have been divided in two areas those guidelines that are applicable to the infill area and those that are applicable to the balance of the City. A map depicting these areas is attached.

Infill

The overarching goal to be applied to land use changes within the infill portion of the City is to encourage and emphasize reinvestment and revitalization without creating undue burdens and barriers to development while preserving neighborhood compatibility.

1. Infill properties are identified as those properties located within the City's infill planning area as designated on the City's Zoning Map.
2. Parks obligation to include payment of citywide and neighborhood park fees. Neighborhood Park fees are to be applied to infill neighborhood park improvements as identified on an infill park CIP projects list. Where the Parks Department demonstrates a park need a Parkland dedication or in-lieu park fee for land dedication may be negotiated and evaluated on a project by project basis, based on the nature of the project and the benefit to the City.
3. Encourage the use of private passive open space in-lieu of land dedication for infill projects.
4. Off site utilities (e.g. water, sewer, electric) that serve more than the specific project are not the sole responsibility of the project. Over-sizing of improvements or upgrading to City standards may be available for City reimbursement. The City may develop a CIP for off site improvements for which a project may pay an impact fee in lieu of constructing the improvement. Each project shall be reviewed on a case-by-case basis and shall be conditioned accordingly.
5. School impacts shall be mitigated through new impact agreements executed to reflect the payment of the City's existing infill school impact fees.
6. New housing development shall meet the City's 10% goal (4% affordable to very low, 4% affordable to low, and 2% affordable to middle income) except redevelopment areas shall provide 15%. (Of those 40% affordable to very low income and the balance affordable to low/middle income).
7. A land use change on the Placer County Fair Grounds shall require a master plan.

8. Encourage higher density residential mixed-use projects with consideration to the relaxing of any one guideline or multiple guidelines to promote and provide incentives for innovative higher density residential mixed-use projects.


Balance of the City

The overarching goal to be applied to land use changes for the balance of the City is to maintain the City's fiscal balance and prevent the loss of jobs and existing job centers while maintaining a balanced community.

1. Balance of the City includes properties within all specific plans and the North Industrial Plan area.
2. A region wide employment and land inventory study shall be required of each project that is equal to or greater than 50 acres.
3. Land use changes will not have a negative fiscal impact to the City. Each project shall be modeled individually for citywide impacts utilizing the City's fiscal model.
4. Projects that are 50 acres or greater in size shall maintain employment options and a favorable jobs housing balance.
5. New housing development shall meet the City's 10% goal consistent with the Housing Element (4% affordable to very low, 4% affordable to low, and 2% affordable to middle income).
6. Projects shall provide a specific public benefit that may be in the form of a community benefit fee.
7. Increases in general fund service demands shall be mitigated by establishment of or annexation into a Community Facilities Mello Roos Assessment District to offset the costs of the project. The assessment may be utilized to offset the cost of the following: public safety; parks and open space maintenance; storm water management; and other costs identified by the City.
8. Parks obligation to include payment of citywide and neighborhood park fees. Active park needs shall be provided by the project. An in-lieu parkland dedication fee may be negotiated in lieu of land based on the project. For each acre of parkland dedication mitigated by an in-lieu fee a corresponding in-lieu fee shall be paid for park improvements. The in-lieu fees shall provide improvements of local benefit.
9. Place emphasis on the dedication of parklands within specific plan areas rather than acceptance of and in-lieu fee for land dedication.
10. Utilities (e.g. water, sewer, electric) shall not be impacted as to conveyance or capacity.
11. School impacts shall be mitigated through new impact agreements executed with the school districts to ensure that the projects student generation is accommodated.
12. Residential units not utilized within a specific plan area shall be reallocated within that plan area and school district. Otherwise, requests for units shall be considered as additive to the City's existing unit allocation.
13. Encourage higher density residential mixed-use projects with consideration to the relaxing of any one guideline or multiple guidelines to promote and provide incentives for innovative higher density residential mixed-use projects.



Specific Plans and Planning Areas
Created by Joe Allen, Planning Dept. Manager
5/1/2010, Roseville Planning
Planning Area 6.0/1/17/2010



SPECIFIC PLANS & PLANNING AREAS