## **CC ATTACHMENT 9**

## **Shallow, Kinarik**

From: Shallow, Kinarik

**Sent:** Tuesday, July 14, 2020 11:34 AM

**To:** Shallow, Kinarik

**Subject:** FW: Proposed Raleys Mkt (Blue Oaks)

From: Elissa Hardy < <a href="mailto:ehardy@ufcw8.org">ehardy@ufcw8.org</a> Sent: Monday, June 15, 2020 2:13 PM

To: Public Comment < publiccomment@roseville.ca.us >

**Subject:** Proposed Raleys Mkt (Blue Oaks)

**EXTERNAL:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Planning Commission,

I was misinformed on the Plaza at Blue Oaks (Sprouts) as stated in my previous email. I Believe that Raleys will be a great fit for that area and a welcomed market. The neighbors in that area won't have to travel so far a quality market and this will reduce the traffic in the area. Thank you for your time!

Elissa Hardy 536 Oakborough Ave Roseville, CA. 95747 (916)216-8196

Elissa Hardy UFCW 8-Golden State Field Support Representative (916)782-0256

## Shallow, Kinarik

From: Shallow, Kinarik

**Sent:** Tuesday, July 14, 2020 11:31 AM

**To:** Shallow, Kinarik

**Subject:** FW: Public Comment and Opposition to the Plaza at Blue Oaks Development

From: Sam Parker < <a href="mailto:samparker1981@gmail.com">samparker1981@gmail.com</a>>

Sent: Wednesday, July 1, 2020 2:52 PM

To: Public Comment <publiccomment@roseville.ca.us>

Subject: Public Comment and Opposition to the Plaza at Blue Oaks Development

**EXTERNAL:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To the Honorable Mayor and Councilpersons:

I stand with many of my neighbors and friends in opposition to the proposed Plaza at Blue Oaks commercial development located at 1950 Blue Oaks Blvd. in Roseville.

The proposed Plaza at Blue Oaks development will negatively impact traffic, emergency response times, and public health and safety for the thousands of residents and families that live near the proposed project in west Roseville.

As you know, on May 14<sup>th</sup>, the Planning Commission approved several permits and a Mitigated Negative Declaration for the project, despite objections having been raised by residents and the fact that myself, and the vast majority of west Roseville residents, did not receive public notice about the "virtual" hearing and were unable to participate at all or in-person, as these opportunities for public comment were designed and intended.

I am not opposed to more commercial and housing opportunities in west Roseville- in fact it is needed. But the scope and scale of this glorified strip mall is simply too large for the site chosen and provides for no housing and only nominal mitigation for the thousands of new daily vehicle trips this project will generate on our local roads.

One of the most troubling aspects of this project is the approval of the Mitigated Negative Declaration which leaves far more questions than answers for residents, like myself, on the impacts this strip mall will have on our health, safety and quality of life. I am not sure how anyone can find that the construction of a 12-pump gas station, with attendant underground gasoline storage tanks and a car wash, does not deserve full expert study and analysis as it will undoubtedly result in chemicals being put into the soil and groundwater.

With that said, I respectfully request that, at a minimum, the City Council require that a full and comprehensive EIR be conducted to ensure that the public health and safety of the thousands of families and children who live near the proposed shopping center is maintained. What is the reason and rush to approve this major development without the information and assurance that a comprehensive EIR would provide to our families and on traffic and air quality where we live and work?

I hope that you will reconsider the Planning Commission's approvals from May 14<sup>th</sup> and will put people before developers and corporations. Thank you for your public service and for your time and consideration of my concerns.

Sincerely,

Sam Parker