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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Amy's Drive Thru project is located at 5839 Paradise Drive, on the east side of U.S. 101 south of Tamalpais Drive. It is located on an approximately 1-acre site that is currently occupied by a vacant 3,705 square foot building that was previously a Denny's, a 24-hour, sit-down restaurant that closed in May 2014.

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed project includes a new one-story building of approximately 4,125 square feet, approximately 3,000 square feet of outdoor seating, 47 vehicle parking spaces, and 14 bicycle parking spaces. Access to the project site will primarily be via the U.S. 101/Tamalpais Drive interchange, Tamalpais Drive, Paradise Drive, and San Clemente Drive. In the vicinity of the project site, Paradise Drive is a two-lane collector with on-street parking.

Based on empirical trip generation rates developed from trip counts at the Amy's Drive Thru location in Rohnert Park, the Proposed Project would generate around 173 vehicle trips during the weekday midafternoon peak (2:00-4:00 PM) and around 168 vehicle trips during the weekday PM peak (4:00-6:00 PM). Most of the trips generated by project would divert from U.S. 101, made by motorists making trips for other purposes (i.e., commute, shopping, recreational). The diverted trips would be new trips on the local streets between the U.S. 101/Tamalpais Drive interchange and the project site, but not on U.S. 101 .

## ANALYSIS PERIODS

The report evaluates transportation network conditions during the weekday PM (4:00-6:00 PM) and MidAfternoon (2:00-4:00 PM) peak periods. The weekday PM peak hour represents the highest combination of existing and project trips for travel on the local roadway network. The weekday AM (7:00 AM - 9:00 AM) peak period was not analyzed for this study due to significantly lower traffic volumes on the network compared to the weekday PM and Mid-Afternoon periods. Traditionally for restaurants and fast-food land uses, there are more trips generated during the PM period than the AM period. The weekday mid-afternoon period has the second-highest traffic levels to residential areas and has a similar number of project trips generated when compared to the PM peak hour.

## TRANSPORTATION IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS

The Proposed Project's impact on the transportation network was found to be less-than-significant. No transportation mitigation measures are required.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The Proposed Amy's Drive Thru restaurant would be located in the Town of Corte Madera at 5839 Paradise Drive between Tamalpais Drive and San Clemente Drive. The Project is located adjacent to U.S. 101 on Paradise Drive, where there are a variety of different retail and office uses as well as some nearby residential uses. The Village at Corte Madera, a regional shopping center, is located north of the project site.

This report examines the existing transportation conditions around the 5839 Paradise Drive site and analyzes the transportation impacts of building the Amy's Drive-Thru restaurant (herein "Proposed Project" or "Project"). This Project includes a total site area of 48,744 square feet, including a one store commercial building of 4,125 gross square feet and a drive-through window with dedicated queueing space.

This transportation impact analysis evaluates the Proposed Project's potential impacts on traffic conditions, transit service, bicycle circulation, pedestrian circulation, and emergency access. This chapter summarizes the project study area and outlines the report structure.

### 1.1 PROJECT STUDY AREA

The transportation study area for the Proposed Project (herein "study area") is bounded by Tamalpais Drive, San Clemente Drive, Paradise Drive, and Madera Boulevard. Figure 1-1-1 shows the location of the Proposed Project and streets and intersections within the study area.
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### 1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Proposed Project site is located at 5839 Paradise Drive in the Town of Corte Madera and adjacent to the U.S. 101 Highway, south of Tamalpais Drive. The site is currently occupied by a one story, 3,705 square foot commercial building. The Proposed Project would demolish the existing building and construct a new one-story commercial building of approximately 4,125 square feet as a drive-through restaurant further to the south of the project site. The Project site design includes approximately 3,000 square feet of outdoor seating, 47 parking spaces, 14 bicycle parking spaces, and a covered refuse enclosure. The Project would also install a crosswalk across Paradise Drive to provide a marked crossings traveling between the project site and businesses on the east side of Paradise Drive. Figure $\mathbf{1 - 2}$ provides a Proposed Project site plan.

### 1.3 REPORT ORGANIZATION

The remainder of this report is divided into the following chapters:

Chapter 2 - Existing Conditions describes the operating conditions of the existing transportation network within the vicinity of the Project, including the surrounding roadway network, intersection operating conditions, transit service, pedestrian and bicycle conditions, and parking supply and occupancy.

Chapter 3 - Regulatory Framework discusses the relevant state, regional, and local jurisdictions that operate in the Town of Corte Madera and have transportation policies that may apply to the Project impact analysis.

Chapter 4 - Travel Demand Analysis includes the selection of the study time periods for analysis as well as the Proposed Project's estimated trip generation, trip distribution, and trip assignment forecasts for private vehicles. The Proposed Project's trip generation was developed based on the Amy's Drive Thru location in Rohnert Park, as well as the ITE Trip Generation Manual.

Chapter 5 - Significance Criteria describes how each transportation mode was assessed for Project-related impacts based on the Town's General Plan and other relevant policy documents. Areas analyzed include traffic, transit, pedestrians, bicycles, and emergency access.

Chapter 6 - Existing Plus Project Conditions describes the anticipated operating conditions of the transportation network with the Proposed Project in place and identifies the extent to which Proposed Project traffic would impact the transportation network. Existing Plus Project conditions describes the anticipated operating conditions of the transportation network under Existing conditions with the addition of the Proposed Project. Operations of the transportation network after the addition of the travel demand from the Proposed Project is described, including the project's impacts on study intersections, transit, bicycles, pedestrians, parking, and emergency vehicles.

Chapter 7 - Cumulative Conditions describes the anticipated operating conditions of the transportation network under Cumulative conditions, including the traffic associated with the Proposed Project, and other reasonably foreseeable development projects as included in the Town of Corte Madera General Plan. Future year traffic forecasts were previously prepared as part of the Transportation Impact Analysis for the General Plan, which used the Corte Madera Travel Demand Model (which is itself based on the Marin County travel demand model). The Proposed Project's contribution to potential impacts on future transportation conditions for intersections, transit, pedestrians and bicycles, and emergency vehicles is described.
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## 2 EXISTING CONDITIONS

This chapter provides a description of the existing transportation and circulation setting within the surrounding vicinity of the Project. It includes descriptions of the existing roadway network, intersection operating conditions, freeway operating conditions, transit network and service, bicycle and pedestrian circulation, and parking supply and occupancy.

### 2.1 ELEMENTS OF ANALYSIS

This study examines Existing conditions related to the following transportation elements:

- Intersection and Operations - operations at key intersections providing access to and through the study area;
- Transit Service - local and regional transit operations into and within the study area;
- Pedestrian Circulation - qualitative assessment of conditions into and within the study area;
- Bicycle Circulation - qualitative assessment of conditions into and within the study area; and
- Parking Conditions - characterization of supply throughout the study area.


### 2.2 ROADWAY FACILITIES

This section describes the regional and local roadway system in the study area. The primary roadways used to access the Project include Paradise Drive, U.S. Highway 101, Tamalpais Drive, and San Clemente Drive. The Project would have three driveways located along Paradise Drive.

### 2.2.1 Regional Access

U.S. Highway 101 (U.S. 101) provides the primary regional access to the Project and runs north-south through the study area. U.S. 101 connects Marin and Sonoma counties with San Francisco to the south. U.S. 101 also provides access to other regional roadways, including l-580 to the North of the project site. Vehicles approaching from US-101 northbound or southbound would reach the site via their respective freeway offramps, then by turning onto eastbound Tamalpais Drive and turning right onto southbound Paradise Drive. Visitors approaching from the east (i.e. Paradise Drive) would reach the site via northbound Paradise Drive. With the proposed right-out turning restriction in place at the Project driveways on Paradise Drive, drivers exiting the project site would reach the US-101 ramps by proceeding southbound on Paradise Drive, then turning left onto northbound San Clemente Drive, then left onto westbound Tamalpais Drive. This route translates into a travel distance of 0.8 miles, or approximately 3 minutes, from the project site to the US101 northbound on-ramp; and a distance of 1.0 miles, or approximately 4 minutes, from the project site to
the US-101 southbound on-ramp. Within the study area, U.S. 101 is generally four lanes in each direction, including three mixed-flow lanes and one high occupancy vehicle (HOV) lane.

### 2.2.2 Local Access

Paradise Drive is a north-south running local street on the east side of U.S. 101 south of Tamalpais Drive. Along the Project site, Paradise Drive is one lane in each direction. Paradise Drive connects vehicles traveling from U.S. 101 to the Project. However, vehicles traveling northbound on Paradise Drive are not able to directly access the U.S. 101 on-ramps due to a forced right turn onto Tamalpais Drive. South of the Project, Paradise Drive connects to San Clemente Drive, and east of this intersection Paradise Drive runs east-west.

Tamalpais Drive is a four-lane east-west minor arterial street located north of the Project with two travel lanes in each direction. The Tamalpais Drive / U.S. 101 interchange provides the primary freeway access to the Project. East of San Clemente Drive, Tamalpais Drive becomes Redwood Highway. West of the U.S. 101 interchange, Tamalpais Drive provides access to the residential neighborhoods in Corte Madera. There are sidewalks on both sides of Tamalpais Drive West of the U.S. 101 southbound off-ramp. East of the southbound off-ramp, there is a sidewalk on the south side of Tamalpais Drive over the U.S. 101 overcrossing.

San Clemente Drive is a north-south minor arterial street with two travel lanes in each direction. Tamalpais Drive connects to the residential neighborhoods to the southeast of the Project site. San Clemente Drive connects with and becomes Paradise Drive approximately 2,500 feet south of its intersection with Tamalpais Drive. There are sidewalks on the east and west side of the street from Tamalpais Drive to Paradise Drive.

### 2.3 INTERSECTION OPERATIONS

This report evaluates intersection operating conditions during the weekday PM (4:00 PM to 6:00 PM) and Mid-Afternoon (2:00 PM - 4:00 PM) peak periods. A detailed discussion about the selection of these two time periods for analysis is provided in Section 4.1. Intersections, not corridors, usually form the critical capacity constraints on roadways. Therefore, most transportation analyses examine intersection operations as a measure of overall roadway conditions. The following six study area intersections were selected for analysis, through consultation with the Town of Corte Madera staff, given their location along routes where a significant number of project trips would be added.

1. Tamalpais Drive / Redwood Highway / San Clemente Drive
2. Tamalpais Drive / Northbound US-101 Ramps
3. Tamalpais Drive / Southbound US-101 Ramps
4. Tamalpais Drive / Town Center Entrance
5. Tamalpais Drive / Madera Boulevard
6. Paradise Drive / San Clemente Drive

Intersection operating conditions were evaluated for all six study intersections for the weekday PM and Mid-Afternoon peak periods.

### 2.3.1 Methodology

The operating characteristics of study intersections are evaluated using the metric of Level of Service ("LOS"). LOS is a qualitative description of driver comfort and convenience. Most often, an intersection's average delay per vehicle is used as a quantitative proxy for LOS. Intersection levels of service range from LOS A, which indicates free flow or excellent vehicle flow conditions with short delays, to LOS F, which indicates congested or overloaded vehicle flow conditions with extremely long delays. For this project, LOS A through D are considered acceptable, and LOS E and LOS F are considered unsatisfactory service levels. The intersections were evaluated using the methodology described in the 2010 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM).

Traffic operations at signalized intersections are evaluated using the LOS method described in Chapter 16 of the HCM. A signalized intersection's LOS is based on the weighted average control delay measured in seconds per vehicle and includes initial deceleration delay, queue move-up time, stopped delay, and final acceleration. Table 1 summarizes the relationship between the control delay and LOS for signalized intersections.

In November 2017, the Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR) released proposed CEQA guidelines implementing Senate Bill (SB) 743 passed in 2013. SB 743, codified as Public Resources Code Section 21099, requires OPR to amend the CEQA Guidelines to provide an alternative to Level of Service (LOS) for evaluating transportation impacts. This transportation assessment uses LOS as a transportation impact metric because the proposed OPR CEQA guideline has yet to be adopted by the California Natural Resources Agency or the Transportation Authority of Marin (TAM), which is currently preparing guidelines for Marin jurisdictions.

| TABLE 1: SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE CRITERIA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of Service | Description | Average Control <br> Delay (seconds per <br> vehicle) |
| A | Operations with very low delay occurring with favorable traffic <br> signal progression and/or short cycle lengths. | $<10$ |
| B | Operations with low delay occurring with good progression <br> and/or short cycle lengths. | $>10$ to 20 |
| C | Operations with average delays resulting from fair progression <br> and/or longer cycle lengths. Individual cycle failures begin to <br> appear. | $>20$ to 35 |
| D | Operations with longer delays due to a combination of <br> unfavorable progression, long cycle lengths, or high V/C ratios. <br> Many vehicles stop and individual cycle failures are noticeable. | $>35$ to 55 |
| E | Operations with high delay values indicating poor progression, <br> long cycle lengths, and high V/C ratios. Individual cycle failures <br> are frequent occurrences. This is considered to be the limit of <br> acceptable delay. | $>55$ to 80 |
| F | Operations with delays unacceptable to most drivers occurring <br> due to over-saturation, poor progression, or very long cycle <br> lengths. | $>80$ |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, Transportation Research Board, 2010.

### 2.3.2 Intersection Operation Results

Existing traffic volumes were collected during the weekday PM and Mid-Afternoon periods for the six study intersections in May 2017 and February 2018 during typical conditions when local schools were in session. Traffic volume and intersection turning movement count summary sheets are provided in Appendix A. Intersection peak hour turning movements are shown in Figure 2-1.

LOS was calculated at each study intersection for the weekday PM and Mid-Afternoon peak hours. Table 2 presents the existing LOS and corresponding delay at each study intersection. As shown in the table, all study intersections currently operate at LOS C or better during the PM and Mid-Afternoon peak hours. The highest delay occurs at Tamalpais Drive/Madera Boulevard with 36 seconds of average intersection delay during the Mid-Afternoon peak hour. Detailed LOS analysis results are provided in Appendix B.
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| 1. S an Clemente/Tamalpias Dr | 2. US 101 NB Ramps/Tamalpias Dr | 3. US 101 SB Ramps/Tamalpias Dr | 4. Town Center/Tamalpias Dr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 5. Madera Blvd/Tamalpias Dr | 6. Paradise Dr/S an Clemente Dr |  |  |
|  |  | Turn Lane <br> MID (PM) Peak Hour Traffic Volume <br> 䞪 Traffic Signal <br> - Stop Sign | Study Intersection <br> Project Site |

TABLE 2: EXISTING INTERSECTION LOS AND DELAY

| Intersection | Intersection Control | Mid-Afternoon Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay ${ }^{1}$ | LOS ${ }^{1}$ | Delay ${ }^{1}$ | LOS ${ }^{1}$ |
| 1. San Clemente Drive / Tamalpais Drive / Redwood Highway | Signal | 15 | B | 19 | B |
| 2. Tamalpais Drive / U.S. 101 Northbound Ramps | Signal | 15 | B | 14 | B |
| 3. Tamalpais Drive / U.S. 101 Southbound Ramps | Signal | 13 | B | 16 | B |
| 4. Tamalpais Drive / Town Center Drive | Signal | 10 | B | 10 | A |
| 5. Tamalpais Drive / Madera Boulevard | Signal | 36 | D | 33 | C |
| 6. Paradise Drive / San Clemente Drive | Signal | < 10 | A | < 10 | A |

Notes:
Bold indicates LOS E or F operations

1. Delay reported as seconds per vehicle. For all intersections, a combined weighted average delay for the various movements within the intersection is reported based on the methodology in the Highway Capacity Manual 2010. This is consistent with the Town of Corte Madera's guidance for reporting intersection LOS results from the General Plan.
Sources: Fehr \& Peers, 2017, Highway Capacity Manual 2010, Transportation Research Board

### 2.4 FREEWAY OPERATIONS

Based on guidance from the 2015 Congestion Management Program (CMP) Update published by the Transportation Authority of Marin (TAM), projects that generate more than 100 peak hour trips must conduct a freeway analysis as part of the operations analysis. Though the Proposed Project generates 168 PM peak hour trips, freeway operations do not need to be analyzed given the high portion of pass-by trips generated. Given that the Project location is adjacent to the U.S. 101 and the nature of fast-food restaurant trips, approximately 50 percent of trips generated would be considered "diverted linked" trips that are already making their primary trip on U.S. 101 and would divert to the project site via the U.S. 101/Tamalpais Drive interchange. As such, the proposed project would add approximately 80-90 peak hour vehicle trips to U.S. 101 and a freeway analysis is not required under the TAM CMP.

### 2.5 TRANSIT SERVICE

shows the transit service available within the study area. TabIE below summarizes transit service in the study area, while the remainder of this section provides detail about the two main transit operators in the area: Marin Transit and Golden Gate Transit.

The project site is near three transit stops: two bus stops at the ramps along US-101 (at Tamalpais Drive), and a local stop on the north side of Tamalpais Drive. The northbound and southbound US 101 bus pads are served by routes 17, 24, 27,30, and 70. The local stop on Tamalpais Drive is served by routes 18 and 22. These bus stops can be reached by walking approximately 0.4 to 0.5 miles along Paradise Drive, Tamalpais Drive, and dedicated pedestrian paths to the bus pads. Additional details on the transit routes is provided in the table below and the following text.

| Line | Major Destinations | Nearest Stop to the Project | Weekday Operations |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Hours of Operation | Frequency |
| Marin Transit |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Sausalito - Mill Valley San Rafael | Tamalpais Drive / U.S. 101 northbound off-ramp | 5:30 AM - 11:25 PM | 30/60 minutes |
| 22 | San Rafael - Marin City | Tamalpais Drive / Paradise Drive / U.S. 101 Overpass | 5:32 AM - 11:55 PM | 30/60 minutes |
| 36 | Canal - San Rafael - Marin City | Tamalpais Drive / U.S. 101 northbound off-ramp | 6:53 AM - 5:54 PM | 30 minutes |
| Golden Gate Transit |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | College of Marin - San Francisco | Tamalpais Drive / Paradise Drive / U.S. 101 Overpass | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:00 AM - 9:30 AM } \\ & \text { 4:00 PM - 8:00 PM } \end{aligned}$ | 15/30 minutes |
| 24 | Fairfax (Manor) - San Francisco | Tamalpais Drive / U.S. 101 northbound off-ramp | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4:30 AM - } 10 \text { AM } \\ \text { 2:30 PM - 8:30 PM } \end{gathered}$ | 15/30 minutes |
| 27 | San Anselmo - San Francisco | Tamalpais Drive / U.S. 101 northbound off-ramp | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:30 AM - 11:45 AM } \\ & \text { 12:15 PM - 7:45 PM } \end{aligned}$ | 15/30 minutes |
| 30 | San Rafael - San Francisco | Tamalpais Drive / U.S. 101 northbound off-ramp | $4 \mathrm{AM}-2 \mathrm{AM}$ | 60 minutes |
| 70 | Novato - San Rafael - San Francisco | Tamalpais Drive / U.S. 101 northbound off-ramp | $4 \mathrm{AM}-12 \mathrm{AM}$ | 60 minutes |

Source: Golden Gate Transit and Marin Transit, 2018
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Figure 2-2
Transit Service

### 2.5.1 Local Transit Service

Marin Transit provides local bus service within Marin County. TabIE describes the service provided through Marin Transit within the Project study area. Bus service within vicinity of the Project is provided through Route 22, which runs along Tamalpais Drive West of San Clemente Drive, and Routes 17 and 36, which run along U.S. 101. Route 17 is a local route that carries the highest ridership of these transit lines, with approximately 900 daily riders while route 22 , another local route, serves approximately 800 daily riders. Route 36 is an urban trunk line that serves approximately 400 daily riders. There are bus stop locations on the arterial street Tamalpais Drive / Paradise Drive / U.S. 101 Overpass and Tamalpais Drive / Madera Boulevard. There are two bus stops serving U.S. 101 located at Tamalpais Drive / U.S. 101 southbound onramp and Tamalpais Drive / U.S. 101 northbound off-ramp.

### 2.5.2 Regional Transit Service

Golden Gate Transit is the primary regional transit provider within Marin and Sonoma Counties. Golden Gate Transit provides extensive bus service to the San Rafael Transit Center in Downtown San Rafael from Marin and Sonoma counties, San Francisco, and Contra Costa County. Commute route 18 provides service to San Francisco on weekdays with bus stop locations along Tamalpais Drive shared with Marin transit. Commute routes 24 and 27 as well as regional routes 30 and 70 provide service to San Francisco on weekdays with bus stop locations along U.S. 101 located at Tamalpais Drive / U.S. 101 southbound onramp, Tamalpais Drive / U.S. 101 northbound off-ramp, Lucky Drive / U.S. 101 on-ramp and Lucky Drive / U.S. 101 off-ramp. Route 70 serves the highest ridership of all routes serviced by Golden Gate Transit, with approximately 2,400 riders per day.

Golden Gate also provides ferry service between Larkspur and San Francisco. The Larkspur ferry terminal is located to the North of the Project and is accessible via U.S. 101. Service operates with 30 minute headways during the AM and PM peak periods.

### 2.6 PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION

Pedestrians would access the site via the sidewalk adjacent to the site, along the west side of Paradise Drive. A 5 -foot-wide sidewalk is currently present at this location. However, the sidewalk is not continuous or connected to the north or south of the project site; rather, the sidewalk ends approximately 400 feet to the north and 250 feet south of the proposed building. There is no available right of way, nor are there destinations to feasibly extend the sidewalk to the north or south. There is a continuous sidewalk along the east side of Paradise Drive. There are currently no crosswalks across Paradise Drive.

Tamalpais Drive has sidewalks on both sides of the street west of the U.S. 101 southbound ramps. Between the U.S. 101 northbound on-ramp and U.S. 101 southbound off-ramp, there is a sidewalk on the south side of Tamalpais Drive for pedestrians crossing over U.S. 101. At the U.S. 101 northbound on-ramp, the sidewalk merges into a pathway that brings pedestrians down from the overcrossing, and provides access to the southern crosswalk at Tamalpais Drive / U.S. 101 northbound off-ramp. Between San Clemente Drive and the U.S. 101 northbound off-ramp there is a sidewalk on the north side of Tamalpais Drive that allows pedestrian circulation from the bus stop near the Tamalpais Drive / U.S. 101 northbound ramp intersection. There are no north-south crosswalks on Tamalpais Drive between the U.S. 101 Southbound off-ramp and San Clemente Drive on the U.S. 101 overcrossing.

### 2.7 BICYCLE CIRCULATION

Bicycle facilities consist of bicycle paths, bicycle lanes, bicycle routes, and separated bikeways.

- Class I (Shared Use Bicycle Path): These facilities provide a dedicated area for bicyclists on a paved right-of-way completely separated from any street or highway. It is usually shared with pedestrians and other active transportation users.
- Class II (Bicycle Lanes): These facilities provide a dedicated area of bicyclists within the paved street width through the use of striping and appropriate signage.
- Class III (Bicycle Routes): These facilities are provide shared use with motor vehicle traffic. The street is designated as a bicycle route through the use of signage informing drivers to expect bicyclists.
- Class IV (Separated Bikeways or Cycle Tracks): These facilities are for the exclusive use of bicycles and requires a vertical element that separates the bikeway and adjacent vehicular traffic.

Figure 2-3 shows the bicycle facilities within the study area. Currently there are designated Class I shared use bicycle paths along Redwood Highway and San Clemente. The Sandra Marker Trail is a shared use trail located on the east side of Redwood Highway begins South of Wornum Drive and ends at San Clemente Drive. At the intersection of Redwood Highway and San Clemente Drive, the shared use path continues on the east side of San Clemente Drive to Paradise Drive.

Class II bicycle lanes are provided along segments of Redwood Highway, Madera Boulevard, and San Clemente Boulevard. On Madera Boulevard there are north-south bicycle lanes along the east and west side from Council Crest Drive to Tamalpais Drive. On San Clemente Boulevard there are north-south bicycle lanes from Tamalpais Drive to Paradise Drive, in addition to the shared-use path to the east side of the street.

There are no Class III bicycle routes or Class IV cycle tracks within the study area.
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## 3 REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

This section describes the relevant state, regional, and local agencies with operations in the Town of Corte Madera and their associated transportation-related policies that could apply to Project-related transportation issues.

### 3.1 STATE

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) operates and maintains U.S. Highway 101 and has jurisdiction over the freeway and the on and off-ramp intersections and interchanges that access this regional facility, which includes the freeway segments and intersections studied in the TIS. U.S. 101 provides regional access to the Town of Corte Madera and the neighboring cities within Marin County. Additionally, the Caltrans Division of Planning has four major functions including the Office of Advance Planning, Regional Planning/Metropolitan Planning Organization, Local Assistance/IGR/CEQA, and System Planning Public Transportation.

### 3.2 MARIN COUNTY

The Transportation Authority of Marin (TAM) is designated as the Marin Congestion Management Agency (CMA), to address Marin's unique transportation issues and to fulfill the legislative requirements of Propositions 111 and 116, approved in June 1990. The agency was created for the purpose of administering the Measure A sales tax program. The Authority is responsible for programming funding for all transportation programs in Marin County. The TAM Board includes representatives from each city and town in Marin County, plus the five members of the Board of Supervisors.

### 3.3 TOWN OF CORTE MADERA

The Town of Corte Madera has jurisdiction over all Town streets, Town-operated traffic signals, and public rights of way. The Town's General Plan contains a Circulation Element that has several transportation-related policies and implementation programs that are applicable to the Proposed Project.

### 3.3.1 Intersections

The Town's General Plan specifies the following Policy and Implementation Program related to traffic operations at intersections:

- Policy CIR-1.2: Ensure that current Levels of Service at intersections are maintained when considering new development within Corte Madera
o Implementation Program CIR-1.1.a: Level of Service Standards: Ensure that current Levels of Service (LOS) at intersections are maintained at LOS D or better operation during the evening peak periods at intersections of an arterial street with either another arterial or a collector street and intersections of two collector streets. For all types of controls the LOS standard is to be applied to the average operation of the intersection, and not that for any single movement or approach. Exceptions to meeting this standard include:

1. Stop-controlled minor street approaches to either collector or arterial streets, where safety shall be the primary consideration;
2. Locations where the Town Engineer deems improvement to be technically, financially, or environmentally infeasible;
3. Conditions where the improvement would result in significant adverse impacts to other travel modes, including walking, bicycling, or transit; or
4. Locations where attainment would ensure the loss of an area's unique character.

### 3.3.2 Transit

The Town's General Plan specifies the following Policy and Implementation Program related to transit service:

- Policy CIR-1.8: Support investment in local and regional transit and transportation plans that provide alternatives to automobile-intensive transportation programs through CIP actions
o Implementation Program CIR-1.8.a: Regional Transit: Partner with regional transportation agencies and transit providers to create programs aimed at reducing vehicle miles traveled (VMT) in the Town and region. These programs may include the provision of additional transit options, reviving fixed rail service within the County, carpooling programs, partnerships with employers to support variable work hours, transit passes, and programs aimed at altering travel behavior


### 3.3.3 Pedestrians

The Town's General Plan specifies the following Policies and Implementation Programs related to pedestrians:

- Policy CIR-1.6: Assure the adequacy and availability of the circulation system for all persons by implementing the Americans with Disabilities Act
o Implementation Program CIR-1.6.a: Barrier Removal. Remove barriers on sidewalks and at street crossings as identified and prioritized in the Town of Corte Madera ADA Barrier Removal Implementation Plan.
o Implementation Program CIR-1.6.b: Barrier Free Design. Continue to design roadway intersection, and sidewalk projects to assure accessibility for all persons, consistent with Americans with Disabilities Act
- Policy CIR-3.5: Emphasize use of pedestrian pathways and sidewalks as an integral part of the Town's circulation system
o Implementation Program CIR-3.5.a: Sidewalk Design: Design new and replacement sidewalks to increase pedestrian safety, use, and aesthetics
o Implementation Program CIR-3.5c: Sidewalk Repairs. Require property owners to pay their fair share of costs for repairing existing sidewalks


### 3.3.4 Parking

The Town's General Plan specifies the following Policies and Implementation Programs related to parking:

- Policy CIR-6.1: Require parking to meet the needs of existing and planned uses
o Implementation Program CIR-6.1.a: Off-Street Parking. Through the design review process and appropriate update to the Zoning Ordinance, require all new development to provide sufficient off-street parking. The Zoning Ordinance parking standards shall recognize reduced on-site parking requirement when development includes mixed-uses with offset peak hour parking, and provisions for alternative transportation modes


### 3.3.5 Bicycle Plan

The Town's Bicycle Plan (adopted July 2016) establishes the Town's vision for a network of bicycle and pedestrian facilities to encourage bicycling and walking as viable modes of travel around the Town. The Plan identifies specific improvement projects around the Town to improve the walking and bicycling environment.

## 4 TRAVEL DEMAND ANALYSIS

This section describes the time periods selected for analysis, the vehicle travel demand that would be generated by the Proposed Project, and how the Project trips would be distributed throughout the study area intersections. The travel demand associated with the Proposed Project was estimated using a threestep process: trip generation, trip distribution, and trip assignment.

### 4.1 STUDY TIME PERIODS

Transportation impact studies typically evaluate the peak hours for weekday traffic conditions during morning (7:00-9:00 AM) and evening (4:00-6:00 PM) time periods as those peak hours represent the highest level of traffic when looking at the combination of added project traffic and existing/background traffic. Fast food and restaurant land uses have different travel profiles than residential or office uses that have peak traffic generation during weekday AM (7:00-9:00 AM) and PM (4:00-6:00 PM) peak hours. Fast food restaurants generate substantially fewer trips during the weekday AM peak period as most stores don't open until mid-morning. The proposed Amy's Drive Thru will not open to customers until 9 AM or later, thus the majority of Project trips will be outside of the AM (7:00 - 9:00 AM) period. Peak traffic generation for fast food restaurants occur during the weekday PM (4:00 PM - 6:00 PM) and mid-day (12:00 PM - 2:00 PM) periods. On weekdays, traffic volumes in Corte Madera are highest during the weekday PM commute period (4:00-6:00 PM) but also are very high during the mid-afternoon period (2:00-4:00 PM) when schools are letting out for the day.

An assessment was conducted to determine which time period(s) should be evaluated in the traffic study. To inform the decision, traffic counts were conducted at all six study intersections during the following time periods:

- Weekday PM Peak Hour (highest hour between 4:00-6:00 PM)
- Weekday Mid-Afternoon Peak Hour (highest hour between 2:00-4:00 PM)
- Weekday AM Peak Hour (highest hour between 7:00 AM - 9:00 AM)

Intersection volumes during the three time periods are presented in Table 4. Compared to the weekday PM period, the AM period generates ten percent fewer trips network wide. When isolating individual intersections, this decrease in traffic volumes ranges from one percent to 29 percent with the exception of Paradise Drive / San Clemente Drive. This intersection experiences higher volume during the AM peak period, which is likely due to the residential and office land uses surrounding the intersection. Compared to the weekday Mid-Afternoon period, the AM period generates nine percent fewer trips network wide. On an isolated intersection level, this decrease ranges from five to 26 percent. Similar to the PM period, the

Paradise Drive / San Clemente Drive location is higher in the AM peak than the Mid-Afternoon peak. Therefore based on this assessment, the Mid-Afternoon and PM periods were selected for analysis because of higher network vehicle volumes as well as higher trip generation for fast food restaurants.

| TABLE 4: INTERSECION VOLUME COMPARISON BY TIME PERIOD |
| :--- |
| Intersection |
| Weekday <br> AM <br> Volume |
| Weekday <br> Mid- <br> Afternoon <br> Volume |
| Weekday <br> PM Peak <br> Hour |
| 1. San Clemente Drive / <br> Tamalpais Drive / Redwood <br> Highway |
| 1,496 |
| 2. Tamalpais Drive / U.S. 101 |
| Northbound Ramps |

Sources: Fehr \& Peers, 2018
Intersection count data for all three time periods is provided in Appendix A.

### 4.2 TRIP GENERATION

Trip generation refers to the process of estimating the amount of new vehicle trips that a project would add to the surrounding roadway system. Alternative sources for trip generation rates for different land use types include either using rates identified in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition (2017) that is based on travel collected on a national basis or collecting localized trip generation data for land use types that are not represented well in the ITE database.

For the purposes of this study, locally derived empirical trip generation rates were used instead of rates provided by ITE or Urban Land Institute (ULI) for the following reasons.

- Specialty nature of the foods offered at Amy's Drive Thru
- ITE does not provide data for fast-food restaurants for the weekday mid-afternoon period, one of two time periods evaluated in this study

ITE recommends using observed local data, when available, to better account for unique local conditions that are not necessarily captured in their nation-wide averages. In addition, empirical data can be used to derive rates for any analysis period, whereas the data sources in ITE Trip Generation are largely focused on the weekday AM and PM peak hours.

For this study, driveway volume and drive-thru queue data were collected at the Rohnert Park Amy's Drive Thru location during the weekday mid-afternoon and PM peak periods on February 27, 2018 and May 24, 2017, respectively. These driveway counts were used to develop an empirical trip generation rate per thousand square feet of gross floor area and compared to ITE rates. The Rohnert Park Amy's Drive Thru location has a gross floor area of 4,200 square feet, which is comparable to the Project's proposed size of 4,125 square feet. The Rohnert Park location also provides approximately 5,500 square feet of outdoor seating area compared to the 3,000 square feet proposed by the Project. Both restaurant sites are located adjacent to U.S. 101, one in Sonoma County and the proposed project in Marin County.

Table 5 shows trip generation estimates for the Proposed Project using the empirical trip generation rate. The analysis presented in this memorandum is based on 4,125 square feet of gross floor area, which is expected to generate an estimated 173 external vehicle trips in the mid-afternoon peak hour and an estimated 168 external vehicle trips during the PM peak hour.

| TABLE 5: PROJECT TRIP GENERATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Gross Floor Area (square feet) | Weekday Mid-Afternoon Peak Hour ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
|  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Rohnert Park Amy's Drive Thru | 4,200 | 75 | 101 | 176 | 89 | 82 | 171 |
| Corte Madera Amy's Drive Thru | 4,125 | 74 | 99 | 173 | 87 | 81 | 168 |

Notes:

1. In and out split during this period deviates from $50 / 50$ as visitors from the typical lunch hours of $12-2$ PM are included in the "out" count when they exit during the 2-4 PM period
Source: Fehr \& Peers, 2018

### 4.3 TRIP DISTRIBUTION

Trip distribution is defined as the directions that vehicles would use to arrive and depart from the Proposed Project. This distribution estimate is based on the locations of major residential areas, sources of potential restaurant customers, our experience with similar land uses, the ITE published average pass-by rate of 49 percent for fast food restaurants with drive through, and discussion with Town staff. Figure 4-1 provides the distribution to and from locations along US-101, Tamalpais Drive, San Clemente Drive, and Lucky Drive. As shown on Figure 4-1, the majority of Project trips are assumed to come from regional origins/destinations via US 101. Approximately 30 percent of Project trips are expected to access the Project site locally. These trips would use local roadways such as Paradise Drive and Tamalpais Drive without traveling on the freeway. Figure 4-2 provides the Project trip assignment to the study intersections.


Project Site
Trip Distribution

## PC Attachment 1



| 1. S an Clemente/Tamalpias Dr | 2. US 101 NB Ramps/Tamalpias Dr | 3. US 101 SB Ramps/Tamalpias Dr | 4. Town Center/Tamalpias Dr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 5. Madera Blvd/Tamalpias Dr | 6. Paradise Dr/S an Clemente Dr |  |  |
|  |  | Turn Lane <br> MID (PM) Peak Hour Traffic Volume <br> Traffic Signal <br> Stop Sign | Study Intersection <br> Project Site |

## 5 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA

The State CEQA Guidelines state that a project will result in a significant transportation and circulation impact if it causes an increase in traffic that is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system. For the purpose of this Transportation Impact Study, impacts are considered to be significant if the following could result from the implementation of the Proposed Project:

1. Cause an increase in traffic that is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio for freeways, or congestion at intersections);
2. Exceed the level of service (LOS) standard established by the county congestion management agency, Town of Corte Madera, or City of Larkspur for designated roads or highways;
3. Result in a significant unanticipated increase in transit ridership or result in development that is inaccessible to transit riders;
4. Disrupt existing pedestrian facilities, interfere with planned pedestrian facilities, or create inconsistencies with adopted pedestrian system plans, guidelines, policies or standards;
5. Disrupt existing bicycle facilities, interfere with planned bicycle facilities, conflict or create inconsistencies with adopted bicycle system plans, guidelines, policies or standards, or not provide secure and safe bicycle parking in adequate proportion to anticipated demand;
6. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in air traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks;
7. Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (i.e., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment);
8. Result in inadequate emergency access;
9. Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation;
10. Construction activity results in substantial interference with pedestrian, bicycle, or vehicle circulation and accessibility to adjoining areas, thereby resulting in potential hazardous conditions, given consideration of the project site location and other relevant project characteristics.

Based on the 2009 Town of Corte Madera General Plan, the following are the significance thresholds used to assess whether the Proposed Project would result in significant impacts to the transportation network
under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). These criteria are organized by transportation mode to facilitate the transportation impact analysis.

### 5.1 TRAFFIC

### 5.1.1 Intersections

Based on Implementation Program CIR-1.1.a of the General Plan, the Project would have a significant impact to intersection operations in Corte Madera if the intersection's level of service deteriorates from LOS D or better to LOS E or F.

### 5.2 TRANSIT

Based on Policy CIR-1.8 of the General Plan, a transit impact is considered significant if it would result in a significant unanticipated increase in transit patronage or result in development that is inaccessible to transit riders. A development is typically considered inaccessible if the distance required to walk between the site and the nearest transit stop is substantially longer than the common standard for desirable walking distance of $1 / 4$ mile, taking into account barriers or obstructions.

### 5.3 PEDESTRIANS

Based on Policy CIR-1.6 and CIR-3.5 of the General Plan, a pedestrian impact is considered significant if it would disrupt existing pedestrian facilities, interfere with planned pedestrian facilities, or create inconsistencies with adopted pedestrian system plans, guidelines, policies or standards.

### 5.4 BICYCLES

A bicycle impact is considered significant if it would disrupt existing bicycle facilities, interfere with planned bicycle facilities, conflict or create inconsistencies with adopted bicycle system plans, guidelines, policies or standards, or not provide secure and safe bicycle parking in adequate proportion to anticipated demand.

### 5.5 EMERGENCY ACCESS

An emergency vehicle access impact is considered to be significant if the proposed project would provide inadequate design features to accommodate emergency vehicle access and circulation.

## 6 EXISTING PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS

This chapter evaluates potential traffic impacts under Existing plus Project conditions. Figure 6-1 presents the project trips described in Section 4 were added to existing traffic counts to produce Existing plus Project intersection turning movement volumes. The Project would result in less-than-significant impacts under intersection, transit, pedestrian, and bicycle, emergency access, and construction conditions.

### 6.1 INTERSECTION IMPACTS

Existing plus Project conditions were evaluated using the significance criteria described in Chapter 5. As shown in Table 6, all six intersections would operate at LOS D or better during the PM and Mid-Afternoon peak periods. Therefore, the Project's impact on the study intersections would be considered less-thansignificant for both the PM and Mid-Afternoon peak periods based on the thresholds of significance described in Chapter 5. All six intersections experience a minor increase in delay during both time periods. The intersection of Tamalpais Drive / Madera Boulevard experiences the highest delay of the six study intersections. Detailed intersection report sheets are provided in Appendix B.

| Intersection | Ti |  |  | Existin | Project |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Time Period | Delay ${ }^{1}$ | LOS ${ }^{1}$ | Delay ${ }^{1}$ | LOS ${ }^{1}$ |
| 1. San Clemente Drive / Tamalpais Drive / Redwood Highway | Mid-Afternoon PM | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ |
| 2. Tamalpais Drive / U.S. 101 Northbound Ramps | Mid-Afternoon PM | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 3. Tamalpais Drive / U.S. 101 Southbound Ramps | Mid-Afternoon PM | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 4. Tamalpais Drive / Town Center Drive | Mid-Afternoon PM | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & B \\ & B \end{aligned}$ |
| 5. Tamalpais Drive / Madera Boulevard | Mid-Afternoon PM | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & D \\ & C \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & D \\ & D \end{aligned}$ |
| 6. Paradise Drive / San Clemente Drive | Mid-Afternoon PM | $\begin{aligned} & <10 \\ & <10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} <10 \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |

## Notes:

Bold indicates LOS E or F operations

1. Delay reported as seconds per vehicle. For all intersections, a combined weighted average delay for the various movements within the intersection is reported based on the methodology in the Highway Capacity Manual 2010. This is consistent with the Town of Corte Madera's guidance for reporting intersection LOS results from the General Plan.
Sources: Fehr \& Peers, 2018, Highway Capacity Manual 2010, Transportation Research Board
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| 1. S an Clemente/Tamalpias Dr | 2. US 101 NB Ramps/Tamalpias Dr | 3. US 101 SB Ramps/Tamalpias Dr | 4. Town Center/Tamalpias Dr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 5. Madera Blvd/Tamalpias Dr | 6. Paradise Dr/San Clemente Dr |  |  |
|  |  | Turn Lane <br> MID (PM) Peak Hour Traffic Volume <br> 進 Traffic Signal <br> Stop Sign | Study Intersection <br> Project Site |

Peak Hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations Existing Plus Project Conditions

### 6.2 TRANSIT IMPACTS

The Project would have an average of 12 employees on-site during at any point during operating hours. Assuming that a small portion of employees would take transit to travel to travel to the site, the Project is expected to generate less than ten transit trips during the weekday Mid-Afternoon or PM peak period. Visitors are also expected to generate very few transit trips during these periods, as most customers would travel via car.

Marin Transit Routes 17, 22, and 36 make two stops each during the PM peak hour in both the northbound and southbound direction (i.e., six northbound buses and six southbound buses) at bus stops located just north of the Project in the vicinity of the U.S. 101/Paradise Drive-Paradise Drive interchange. Assuming a conservative estimate of ten transit trips generated by the Proposed Project to these three routes would result in an average of one trip per bus. The addition of an average of one trip per bus to these routes would not constitute a significant unanticipated increase in transit ridership. The December 2016 Monthly Monitoring Report for Marin Transit indicates that Routes 17, 22, and 36 are routes that did not meet their productivity targets (i.e., passengers per hour or passengers per trip). This is an indication that sufficient capacity exists on these routes to accommodate the level of new transit trips that would be generated by the proposed project. As such, the Project's impacts to transit services and facilities are considered less-than-significant for the weekday PM and mid-afternoon peak periods and no transit mitigations are required.

### 6.3 PEDESTRIAN IMPACTS

The nearest transit stop is located approximately 400 feet from the Project site, which is served by transit that arrives every 30 minutes during peak service times. These bus stops can be reached by walking approximately 0.4 to 0.5 miles along Paradise Drive, Tamalpais Drive, and dedicated pedestrian paths to the bus pads. Nothing in the site plan would negatively affect any transit stops or access to any transit stops.

The Project would install a crosswalk across Paradise Drive to provide a marked crossings traveling between the project site and businesses on the east side of Paradise Drive. This crosswalk also provides continuous sidewalk connectivity on Paradise Drive, as the west side sidewalk ends to the north and south of the Project site. The Proposed Project's pedestrian impacts would be less-than-significant.

### 6.4 BICYCLE IMPACTS

The Proposed Project would provide 14 off-street bicycle parking spaces, which will be either Class II racks located adjacent to the sidewalk on Paradise Drive. This amount of bicycle parking is sufficient based on
the Town's Municipal Code ${ }^{1}$. The Project would also provide three Class I secure bicycle parking spaces for employees. As such, since the Project would not remove existing facilities or conflict with planned improvements and would add a small number of bicycle trips, the Project's impacts to bicycle facilities would be considered less-than-significant and no bicycle mitigations are required.

### 6.5 EMERGENCY ACCESS IMPACTS

The Central Marin Fire Department station 13 is located at 5600 Paradise Drive, approximately .5 miles east of the proposed project. The Central Marin Police Authority serves Corte Madera as well as Larkspur and San Anselmo via the Twin Cities Station. The Twin Cities Station is located at 250 Doherty Drive on the border of Larkspur and Corte Madera, approximately 1.75 miles west of the proposed project.

The Project would have three driveways to serve as emergency vehicle access points. The added vehicle Project trips would not result in a significant change in travel speeds on emergency response routes, based on the intersection Level of Service assessment described previously in this section. Therefore, the Project's impacts to emergency access would be considered less-than-significant and no mitigations are required.

### 6.6 CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS

The existing Project site is vacant and fenced off to the public. Therefore, construction related to the Proposed Project would not disrupt existing activity on-site. Construction-related activities could occur Monday through Friday, between 7:00 AM and 5:30 PM. Construction is not anticipated to occur on major legal holidays. Construction staging would generally occur within the project site. Over the course of the construction phase, construction trucks and workers arriving or departing the site would generate additional vehicle trips on the roadway network. However, due to the timing of typical construction activities, these vehicle trips are generally expected to occur outside of the Mid-Afternoon and PM peak periods. Therefore, the Proposed Project's construction impacts would be considered less-than-significant.

[^0]
## 7 CUMULATIVE CONDITIONS

Cumulative conditions reflect the buildout of all forecast development in the Town of Corte Madera as approved under the General Plan Alternative 4 scenario. The General Plan Alternative 4 scenario included the following land use assumptions for future growth:

- 185,000 square feet of retail expansion at the Village
- 300 residential dwelling units at the Village
- 10,000 square feet of retail at the Gateway Village Mixed Use (located on the northeast corner of the intersection of Tamal Vista Boulevard and Wornum Drive)
- 180 residential dwelling units at the Gateway Village Mixed Use

Therefore, the Proposed Project was not considered under General Plan cumulative conditions. It was assumed that minor signal timing plans would be adjusted over time to accommodate growth in traffic volumes.

Mitigation Measure C-TR-1, as described in the Village at Corte Madera Expansion EIR (2017), was assumed to be implemented under all cumulative scenarios. The mitigation measure includes widening of eastbound Tamalpais Drive from Madera Boulevard to San Clemente Drive to three lanes and the widening of the northbound approach to the Tamalpais Drive/San Clemente Drive intersection to include three left-turn lanes and one right-turn lane. As outlined in the Village at Corte Madera Expansion EIR, that project is conditioned to fund 100 percent of the cost of implementing the improvement, and it is therefore reasonable and foreseeable to include in the cumulative scenarios.

### 7.1 INTERSECTION IMPACTS

### 7.1.1 Cumulative Volumes

Cumulative No Project intersection volume forecasts for the PM peak period are based on forecasts developed for the Corte Madera General Plan Cumulative Alternative 4 scenario. Some minor adjustments to account for changes in existing traffic volumes for some movements were applied to General Plan volume forecasts to calculate the Cumulative No Project intersection volumes used in this analysis. For intersections that were not included in the General Plan, growth rates from the General Plan forecasts for adjacent intersections were applied to existing counts to produce cumulative volumes.

General Plan cumulative conditions assumed a sit-down restaurant at the project site (i.e., the Denny's that existed at the time of the General Plan EIR or similar use), but did not consider a fast food restaurant with
drive through, which typically generates more peak hour vehicle trips than a sit-down restaurant. To account for this change, peak hour trips associated with a sit-down restaurant (based on ITE $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition published rates) were subtracted from Project trips summarized in Table 5 to calculate the incremental project trips. The incremental project trips were then distributed to the study intersections based on Project trip distribution shown in Figure 4-1 and added to Cumulative No Project volumes to calculate Cumulative Plus Project volumes.

Volume figures for the Cumulative No Project and Cumulative Plus Project scenarios can be found in Figure

## 7-1 and Figure 7-2.

### 7.1.2 Cumulative Intersection Results

Cumulative Plus Project conditions were evaluated using the significance criteria described in Chapter 5. As shown in Table 7, all six intersections would operate at LOS D or better during the PM peak period. Therefore, the Project's impact on the study intersections would be considered less-than-significant for the PM peak period based on the thresholds of significance described in Chapter 5. The intersection of Tamalpais Drive / Madera Boulevard experiences the highest delay of the six intersections during the PM period. Most study intersections experience a minor increase in average delay with the addition of Project increment trips. Detailed intersection report sheets are provided in Appendix B.

TABLE 7: CUMULATIVE SCENARIOS INTERSECTION LOS AND DELAY

| Intersection | Time Period | Cumulative No Project |  | Cumulative Plus Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay ${ }^{1}$ | LOS ${ }^{1}$ | Delay ${ }^{1}$ | LOS ${ }^{1}$ |
| 1. San Clemente Drive / Tamalpais Drive / Redwood Highway | PM | 21 | C | 22 | C |
| 2. Tamalpais Drive / U.S. 101 Northbound Ramps | PM | 31 | C | 33 | C |
| 3. Tamalpais Drive / U.S. 101 Southbound Ramps | PM | 38 | D | 38 | D |
| 4. Tamalpais Drive / Town Center Drive | PM | 24 | C | 23 | C |
| 5. Tamalpais Drive / Madera Boulevard | PM | 42 | D | 43 | D |
| 6. Paradise Drive / San Clemente Drive | PM | 12 | B | 14 | B |

Notes:

## Bold indicates LOS E or F operations

1. Delay reported as seconds per vehicle. For all intersections, a combined weighted average delay for the various movements within the intersection is reported based on the methodology in the Highway Capacity Manual 2010. This is consistent with the Town of Corte Madera's guidance for reporting intersection LOS results from the General Plan.
Sources: Fehr \& Peers, 2018, Highway Capacity Manual 2010, Transportation Research Board
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| 1. San Clemente Dr/Tamalpias Dr | 2. US 101 NB Ramps/Tamalpias Dr | 3. US 101 SB Ramps/Tamalpias Dr | 4. Town Center/Tamalpias Dr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 5. Madera Blvd/Tamalpias Dr | 6. Paradise Dr/San Clemente | $\rightarrow 1$ Turn Lane Study Intersection <br> MID (PM) Peak Hour Traffic Volume Project Site <br> 門 Traffic Signal  <br> ( Stop Sign  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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### 7.2 TRANSIT IMPACTS

Most visitors to the Project Site are expected to arrive via automobile. The General Plan seeks to foster increased transit use and a greater emphasis on transit in planning for future transportation options. In the long term, this could include increased frequency of bus services with transit priority and transit-oriented development practices.

If transit service is not enhanced to keep pace with demand, such as through increased frequency and reliability of service within the Town, increased demand for transit service may result in significant impacts. In addition, expanded service hours would necessitate increased transit subsidies, which would likely need to come from local sources.

Since the Project is expected to generate very few transit trips, its contribution to cumulative impacts to transit services and facilities are considered less-than-significant and mitigations are not required.

### 7.3 PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE IMPACTS

The General Plan seeks to promote walking and bicycling within Corte Madera by improving walking and bicycling conditions, increasing pedestrian and bicyclist safety, and creating a land use context supportive of non-motorized travel. The General Plan identifies Implementation Program CIR-2.1.a for implementing a Class I shared-use bicycle and pedestrian path along Paradise Drive to the Tiburon City limit (consistent with the Bay Trail plan), which could also include a pedestrian/bicycle bridge over U.S. 101 at the Tamalpais Drive interchange. The General Plan also identifies Implementation Programs CIR-1.7.b, CIR-1.7.c, CIR-3.1.b, and CIR-3.1.d to enhance walking and bicycle facilities around the Town.

Since the Project is expected to generate very few pedestrian or bicycle trips, its contribution to cumulative impacts to pedestrian and bicycle facilities are considered less-than-significant and mitigations are not required.

### 7.4 EMERGENCY ACCESS IMPACTS

The addition of cumulative vehicle trips are expected to slightly decrease travel speeds on emergency response routes compared to existing conditions. The General Plan EIR identifies Mitigation Measure C-TR1, as described above, to address cumulative impacts. Since all study intersections are projected to operate at acceptable LOS under Cumulative Plus project conditions, the Project would not create congestion that would impact emergency vehicle assess in the study area. Therefore the Project's contribution to cumulative impacts to emergency access are considered less-than-significant.

## APPENDIX A

INTERSECTION COUNT DATA
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All Vehicles \& Uturns On Unshifted
Bikes \& Peds On Bank 1
Heavy Trucks On Bank 2

|  | San Clemente Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr / Redwood Hwy Westbound |  |  |  |  | San Clemente Dr Northbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr / Redwood Hwy Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total | Uturns Total |
| 7:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 109 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 161 | 0 |
| 7:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 171 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 217 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 251 | 0 |
| 7:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 205 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 235 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 281 | 0 |
| 7:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 247 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 291 | 0 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 349 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 732 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 868 | 0 | 97 | 4 | 0 | 101 | 1042 | 0 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 259 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 289 | 0 | 29 | 17 | 0 | 46 | 365 | 0 |
| 8:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 288 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 319 | 0 | 46 | 3 | 0 | 49 | 407 | 0 |
| 8:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 237 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 258 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 345 | 0 |
| 8:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 216 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 231 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 302 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 1000 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 1097 | 0 | 160 | 20 | 0 | 180 | 1419 | 0 |


| 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 212 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 238 | 0 | 129 | 1 | 0 | 130 | 528 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 232 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 266 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 534 | 0 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 205 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 234 | 0 | 138 | 1 | 0 | 139 | 513 | 0 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 203 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 241 | 0 | 114 | 1 | 0 | 115 | 482 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 471 | 0 | 0 | 561 | 852 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 979 | 0 | 514 | 3 | 0 | 517 | 2057 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 215 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 242 | 0 | 129 | 2 | 0 | 131 | 519 | 0 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 278 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 303 | 0 | 159 | 1 | 0 | 160 | 577 | 0 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 233 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 257 | 0 | 147 | 2 | 0 | 149 | 532 | 0 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 200 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 227 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 488 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 413 | 0 | 0 | 508 | 926 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 1029 | 0 | 574 | 5 | 0 | 579 | 2116 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 294 | 990 | 0 | 0 | 1284 | 3510 | 0 | 463 | 0 | 3973 | 0 | 1345 | 32 | 0 | 1377 | 6634 | 0 |
| Apprch \% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 22.9\% | 77.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 88.3\% | 0.0\% | 11.7\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 97.7\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |
| Total \% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 14.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.4\% | 52.9\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 59.9\% | 0.0\% | 20.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 20.8\% | 100.0\% |  |


|  | San Clemente Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr / Redwood Hwy Westbound |  |  |  |  | San Clemente Dr Northbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr / Redwood Hwy Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:45 to 08:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour For Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 247 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 291 | 0 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 349 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 259 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 289 | 0 | 29 | 17 | 0 | 46 | 365 |
| 8:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 288 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 319 | 0 | 46 | 3 | 0 | 49 | 407 |
| 8:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 237 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 258 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 345 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 1031 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 1157 | 0 | 152 | 21 | 0 | 173 | 1466 |
| \% App Total | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 52.9\% | 47.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 89.1\% | 0.0\% | 10.9\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 87.9\% | 12.1\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
| PHF\| | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 857 | . 615 | . 000 | . 000 | . 723 | . 895 | . 000 | . 716 | . 000 | . 907 | . 000 | . 826 | . 309 | . 000 | . 883 | . 900 |
| PM PEAK <br> HOUR | San Clemente Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr / Redwood Hwy Westbound |  |  |  |  | San Clemente Dr Northbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr / Redwood Hwy Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 17:00 to 18:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour For Entire Intersection Begins at 17:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 215 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 242 | 0 | 129 | 2 | 0 | 131 | 519 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 278 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 303 | 0 | 159 | 1 | 0 | 160 | 577 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 233 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 257 | 0 | 147 | 2 | 0 | 149 | 532 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 200 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 227 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 488 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 413 | 0 | 0 | 508 | 926 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 1029 | 0 | 574 | 5 | 0 | 579 | 2116 |
| \% App Total | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 18.7\% | 81.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 90.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 99.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
| PHF\| | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 819 | . 882 | . 000 | . 000 | . 870 | . 833 | . 000 | . 954 | . 000 | . 849 | . 000 | . 903 | . 625 | . 000 | . 905 | . 917 |

## PC Attachment 1

City of Corte Madera
All Vehicles \& Uturns On Unshifted
Bikes \& Peds On Bank 1
Unshifted Count = All Vehicles \& Uturns

|  | Nbound US-101 Ramps Southbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | Nbound US-101 Ramps Northbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total | Uturns Total |
| 7:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 48 | 0 | 120 | 50 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 136 | 85 | 0 | 221 | 414 | 0 |
| 7:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 77 | 0 | 177 | 75 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 124 | 0 | 163 | 88 | 0 | 251 | 552 | 0 |
| 7:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 90 | 0 | 225 | 75 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 141 | 0 | 169 | 108 | 0 | 277 | 643 | 0 |
| 7:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 98 | 0 | 264 | 111 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 207 | 108 | 0 | 315 | 779 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 473 | 313 | 0 | 786 | 311 | 0 | 227 | 0 | 538 | 0 | 675 | 389 | 0 | 1064 | 2388 | 0 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 124 | 0 | 273 | 116 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 258 | 0 | 275 | 113 | 0 | 388 | 919 | 0 |
| 8:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 136 | 0 | 311 | 98 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 221 | 0 | 220 | 128 | 0 | 348 | 880 | 0 |
| 8:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 121 | 0 | 265 | 107 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 178 | 0 | 197 | 117 | 0 | 314 | 757 | 0 |
| 8:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 98 | 0 | 218 | 118 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 225 | 0 | 204 | 114 | 0 | 318 | 761 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 588 | 479 | 0 | 1067 | 439 | 0 | 443 | 0 | 882 | 0 | 896 | 472 | 0 | 1368 | 3317 | 0 |


| 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 172 | 0 | 338 | 144 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 254 | 0 | 194 | 217 | 0 | 411 | 1003 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 183 | 177 | 0 | 360 | 161 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 265 | 0 | 241 | 220 | 0 | 461 | 1086 | 0 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 151 | 0 | 314 | 115 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 206 | 0 | 232 | 207 | 0 | 439 | 959 | 0 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 167 | 0 | 317 | 115 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 219 | 0 | 225 | 184 | 0 | 409 | 945 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 662 | 667 | 0 | 1329 | 535 | 0 | 409 | 0 | 944 | 0 | 892 | 828 | 0 | 1720 | 3993 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 162 | 0 | 330 | 129 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 238 | 0 | 226 | 208 | 0 | 434 | 1002 | 0 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 204 | 155 | 0 | 359 | 142 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 260 | 0 | 267 | 150 | 0 | 417 | 1036 | 0 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 170 | 165 | 0 | 335 | 192 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 325 | 0 | 220 | 172 | 0 | 392 | 1052 | 0 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 139 | 0 | 299 | 169 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 322 | 0 | 243 | 127 | 0 | 370 | 991 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 702 | 621 | 0 | 1323 | 632 | 0 | 513 | 0 | 1145 | 0 | 956 | 657 | 0 | 1613 | 4081 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2425 | 2080 | 0 | 4505 | 1917 | 0 | 1592 | 0 | 3509 | 0 | 3419 | 2346 | 0 | 5765 | 13779 | 0 |
| Apprch \% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 53.8\% | 46.2\% | 0.0\% |  | 54.6\% | 0.0\% | 45.4\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 59.3\% | 40.7\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |
| Total \% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.6\% | 15.1\% | 0.0\% | 32.7\% | 13.9\% | 0.0\% | 11.6\% | 0.0\% | 25.5\% | 0.0\% | 24.8\% | 17.0\% | 0.0\% | 41.8\% | 100.0\% |  |


| AM PEAK HOUR | Nbound US-101 RampsSouthbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | Nbound US-101 RampsNorthbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:45 to 08:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour For Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 98 | 0 | 264 | 111 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 207 | 108 | 0 | 315 | 779 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 124 | 0 | 273 | 116 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 258 | 0 | 275 | 113 | 0 | 388 | 919 |
| 8:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 136 | 0 | 311 | 98 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 221 | 0 | 220 | 128 | 0 | 348 | 880 |
| 8:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 121 | 0 | 265 | 107 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 178 | 0 | 197 | 117 | 0 | 314 | 757 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 634 | 479 | 0 | 1113 | 432 | 0 | 425 | 0 | 857 | 0 | 899 | 466 | 0 | 1365 | 3335 |
| \% App Total | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 57.0\% | 43.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 50.4\% | 0.0\% | 49.6\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 65.9\% | 34.1\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
| PHF\| | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 906 | . 881 | . 000 | . 895 | . 931 | . 000 | . 748 | . 000 | 830 | . 000 | 817 | 910 | . 000 | . 880 | . 907 |
| PM PEAK HOUR | Nbound US-101 Ramps Southbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | Nbound US-101 Ramps Northbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 17:00 to 18:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour For Entire Intersection Begins at 17:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 162 | 0 | 330 | 129 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 238 | 0 | 226 | 208 | 0 | 434 | 1002 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 204 | 155 | 0 | 359 | 142 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 260 | 0 | 267 | 150 | 0 | 417 | 1036 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 170 | 165 | 0 | 335 | 192 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 325 | 0 | 220 | 172 | 0 | 392 | 1052 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 139 | 0 | 299 | 169 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 322 | 0 | 243 | 127 | 0 | 370 | 991 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 702 | 621 | 0 | 1323 | 632 | 0 | 513 | 0 | 1145 | 0 | 956 | 657 | 0 | 1613 | 4081 |
| \% App Total | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 53.1\% | 46.9\% | 0.0\% |  | 55.2\% | 0.0\% | 44.8\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 59.3\% | 40.7\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
| PHF\| | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 860 | . 941 | . 000 | . 921 | . 823 | . 000 | . 838 | . 000 | . 881 | 000 | . 895 | 790 | . 000 | . 929 | 970 |

## PC Attachment 1

City of Corte Madera
All Vehicles \& Uturns On Unshifted
Bikes \& Peds On Bank 1
Unshifted Count = All Vehicles \& Uturns

|  | Town Center Entrance Southbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | Town Center Entrance Northbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total | Uturns Total |
| 7:00 | 13 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 128 | 18 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 70 | 0 | 167 | 337 | 0 |
| 7:15 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 175 | 17 | 0 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 94 | 0 | 179 | 385 | 0 |
| 7:30 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 181 | 23 | 0 | 204 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 109 | 0 | 235 | 453 | 0 |
| 7:45 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 235 | 44 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 126 | 0 | 277 | 570 | 0 |
| Total | 40 | 16 | 10 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 719 | 102 | 0 | 821 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 459 | 399 | 0 | 858 | 1745 | 0 |
| 8:00 | 12 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 233 | 40 | 0 | 273 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 212 | 107 | 0 | 319 | 614 | 0 |
| 8:15 | 14 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 215 | 32 | 0 | 247 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 184 | 154 | 0 | 338 | 614 | 0 |
| 8:30 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 189 | 53 | 0 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 132 | 0 | 267 | 522 | 0 |
| 8:45 | 13 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 199 | 59 | 0 | 258 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 99 | 0 | 228 | 507 | 0 |
| Total |  | 25 | 12 |  | 85 |  | 836 | 184 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 16:00 | 57 | 19 | 10 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 238 | 53 | 0 | 291 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222 | 75 | 0 | 297 | 674 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:15 | 45 | 23 | 11 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 300 | 62 | 0 | 362 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 251 | 75 | 0 | 326 | 767 | 0 |
| 16:30 | 47 | 24 | 12 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 201 | 48 | 0 | 249 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 56 | 0 | 285 | 617 | 0 |
| 16:45 | 63 | 25 | 15 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 220 | 48 | 0 | 268 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 61 | 0 | 223 | 594 | 0 |
| Total | 212 | 91 | 48 | 0 | 351 | 0 | 959 | 211 | 0 | 1170 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 864 | 267 | 0 | 1131 | 2652 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 57 | 29 | 16 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 250 | 47 | 0 | 297 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 93 | 0 | 292 | 691 | 0 |
| 17:15 | 50 | 28 | 9 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 276 | 51 | 0 | 327 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 188 | 69 | 0 | 257 | 671 | 0 |
| 17:30 | 42 | 18 | 10 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 281 | 59 | 0 | 340 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 61 | 0 | 237 | 647 | 0 |
| 17:45 | 44 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 277 | 71 | 0 | 348 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 72 | 0 | 227 | 659 | 0 |
| Total | 193 | 95 | 55 | 0 | 343 | 0 | 1084 | 228 | 0 | 1312 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 718 | 295 | 0 | 1013 | 2668 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 493 | 227 | 125 | 0 | 845 | 0 | 3598 | 725 | 0 | 4323 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2701 | 1453 | 0 | 4154 | 9322 | 0 |
| Apprch \% | 58.3\% | 26.9\% | 14.8\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 83.2\% | 16.8\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 65.0\% | 35.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |
| Total \% | 5.3\% | 2.4\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 38.6\% | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 46.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 29.0\% | 15.6\% | 0.0\% | 44.6\% | 100.0\% |  |


| AM PEAK HOUR | Town Center Entrance Southbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | Town Center Entrance Northbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:45 to 08:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour For Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:45 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 235 | 44 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 126 | 0 | 277 | 570 |
| 8:00 | 12 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 233 | 40 | 0 | 273 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 212 | 107 | 0 | 319 | 614 |
| 8:15 | 14 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 215 | 32 | 0 | 247 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 184 | 154 | 0 | 338 | 614 |
| 8:30 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 189 | 53 | 0 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 132 | 0 | 267 | 522 |
| Total Volume | 44 | 23 | 11 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 872 | 169 | 0 | 1041 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 682 | 519 | 0 | 1201 | 2320 |
| \% App Total | 56.4\% | 29.5\% | 14.1\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 83.8\% | 16.2\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 56.8\% | 43.2\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
| PHF\| | . 786 | . 639 | 458 | . 000 | . 672 | . 000 | . 928 | . 797 | . 000 | . 933 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | 804 | . 843 | . 000 | . 888 | 945 |
| PM PEAK HOUR | Town Center Entrance Southbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | Town Center Entrance Northbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 16:15 to 17:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour For Entire Intersection Begins at 16:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:15 | 45 | 23 | 11 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 300 | 62 | 0 | 362 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 251 | 75 | 0 | 326 | 767 |
| 16:30 | 47 | 24 | 12 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 201 | 48 | 0 | 249 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 56 | 0 | 285 | 617 |
| 16:45 | 63 | 25 | 15 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 220 | 48 | 0 | 268 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 61 | 0 | 223 | 594 |
| 17:00 | 57 | 29 | 16 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 250 | 47 | 0 | 297 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 93 | 0 | 292 | 691 |
| Total Volume | 212 | 101 | 54 | 0 | 367 | 0 | 971 | 205 | 0 | 1176 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 841 | 285 | 0 | 1126 | 2669 |
| \% App Total | 57.8\% | 27.5\% | 14.7\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 82.6\% | 17.4\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 74.7\% | 25.3\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
| PHF\| | . 841 | . 871 | . 844 | . 000 | . 891 | . 000 | . 809 | . 827 | . 000 | . 812 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | 000 | . 838 | 766 | . 000 | . 863 | 870 |

## PC Attachment 1

City of Corte Madera
All Vehicles \& Uturns On Unshifted
Bikes \& Peds On Bank 1
Heavy Trucks On Bank $2 \quad$ Unshifted Count $=$ All Vehicles \& Uturns

|  | Sbound US-101 Ramps Southbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | Sbound US-101 Ramps Northbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total | Uturns Total |
| 7:00 | 115 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 173 | 0 | 83 | 42 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 397 | 0 |
| 7:15 | 138 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 220 | 0 | 106 | 63 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 489 | 0 |
| 7:30 | 129 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 139 | 77 | 0 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 550 | 0 |
| 7:45 | 156 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 255 | 0 | 177 | 99 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 689 | 0 |
| Total | 538 | 0 | 310 | 0 | 848 | 0 | 505 | 281 | 0 | 786 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 491 | 0 | 0 | 491 | 2125 | 0 |
| 8:00 | 198 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 293 | 0 | 178 | 86 | 0 | 264 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 790 | 0 |
| 8:15 | 170 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 249 | 0 | 170 | 106 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 716 | 0 |
| 8:30 | 156 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 238 | 0 | 163 | 80 | 0 | 243 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 631 | 0 |
| 8:45 | 175 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 269 | 0 | 167 | 65 | 0 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 639 | 0 |


| 16:00 | 145 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 213 | 0 | 224 | 68 | 0 | 292 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 275 | 0 | 0 | 275 | 780 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:15 | 175 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 283 | 74 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 292 | 0 | 0 | 292 | 899 | 0 |
| 16:30 | 167 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 237 | 0 | 184 | 72 | 0 | 256 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 282 | 775 | 0 |
| 16:45 | 173 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 185 | 103 | 0 | 288 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 227 | 0 | 0 | 227 | 767 | 0 |
| Total | 660 | 0 | 292 | 0 | 952 | 0 | 876 | 317 | 0 | 1193 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1076 | 0 | 0 | 1076 | 3221 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 196 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 273 | 0 | 217 | 63 | 0 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 249 | 0 | 0 | 249 | 802 | 0 |
| 17:15 | 167 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 245 | 84 | 0 | 329 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 813 | 0 |
| 17:30 | 169 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 254 | 0 | 264 | 105 | 0 | 369 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 228 | 0 | 0 | 228 | 851 | 0 |
| 17:45 | 174 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 260 | 0 | 250 | 90 | 0 | 340 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 791 | 0 |
| Total | 706 | 0 | 329 | 0 | 1035 | 0 | 976 | 342 | 0 | 1318 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 904 | 0 | 0 | 904 | 3257 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 2603 | 0 | 1281 | 0 | 3884 | 0 | 3035 | 1277 | 0 | 4312 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3183 | 0 | 0 | 3183 | 11379 | 0 |
| Apprch \% | 67.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 70.4\% | 29.6\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |
| Total \% | 22.9\% | 0.0\% | 11.3\% | 0.0\% | 34.1\% | 0.0\% | 26.7\% | 11.2\% | 0.0\% | 37.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 28.0\% | 100.0\% |  |


| AM PEAK HOUR | Sbound US-101 Ramps Southbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | Sbound US-101 Ramps Northbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:45 to 08:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour For Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:45 | 156 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 255 | 0 | 177 | 99 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 689 |
| 8:00 | 198 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 293 | 0 | 178 | 86 | 0 | 264 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 790 |
| 8:15 | 170 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 249 | 0 | 170 | 106 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 716 |
| 8:30 | 156 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 238 | 0 | 163 | 80 | 0 | 243 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 631 |
| Total Volume | 680 | 0 | 355 | 0 | 1035 | 0 | 688 | 371 | 0 | 1059 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 732 | 0 | 0 | 732 | 2826 |
| \% App Total | 65.7\% | 0.0\% | 34.3\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 65.0\% | 35.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
| PHF\| | . 859 | . 000 | 896 | . 000 | . 883 | . 000 | . 966 | . 875 | . 000 | . 959 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 785 | . 000 | . 000 | . 785 | . 894 |
| PM PEAK HOUR | Sbound US-101 Ramps Southbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | Sbound US-101 RampsNorthbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 17:00 to 18:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour For Entire Intersection Begins at 17:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 | 196 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 273 | 0 | 217 | 63 | 0 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 249 | 0 | 0 | 249 | 802 |
| 17:15 | 167 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 245 | 84 | 0 | 329 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 813 |
| 17:30 | 169 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 254 | 0 | 264 | 105 | 0 | 369 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 228 | 0 | 0 | 228 | 851 |
| 17:45 | 174 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 260 | 0 | 250 | 90 | 0 | 340 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 791 |
| Total Volume | 706 | 0 | 329 | 0 | 1035 | 0 | 976 | 342 | 0 | 1318 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 904 | 0 | 0 | 904 | 3257 |
| \% App Total | 68.2\% | 0.0\% | 31.8\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 74.1\% | 25.9\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
| PHF | . 901 | . 000 | 956 | . 000 | 948 | . 000 | . 924 | . 814 | . 000 | . 893 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | 908 | . 000 | . 000 | . 908 | 957 |

## PC Attachment 1

City of Corte Madera
All Vehicles \& Uturns On Unshifted
Bikes \& Peds On Bank 1
Unshifted Count = All Vehicles \& Uturns

|  | Madera Blvd Southbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | Madera Blvd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total | Uturns Total |
| 7:00 | 18 | 7 | 15 | 0 | 40 | 35 | 71 | 24 | 0 | 130 | 4 | 3 | 32 | 0 | 39 | 7 | 118 | 7 | 0 | 132 | 341 | 0 |
| 7:15 | 19 | 12 | 22 | 0 | 53 | 29 | 111 | 28 | 0 | 168 | 5 | 11 | 42 | 0 | 58 | 8 | 116 | 6 | 0 | 130 | 409 | 0 |
| 7:30 | 26 | 9 | 20 | 0 | 55 | 34 | 125 | 22 | 0 | 181 | 9 | 13 | 37 | 0 | 59 | 13 | 164 | 8 | 0 | 185 | 480 | 0 |
| 7:45 | 41 | 17 | 31 | 0 | 89 | 24 | 155 | 52 | 0 | 231 | 20 | 21 | 39 | 0 | 80 | 19 | 194 | 3 | 0 | 216 | 616 | 0 |
| Total | 104 | 45 | 88 | 0 | 237 | 122 | 462 | 126 | 0 | 710 | 38 | 48 | 150 | 0 | 236 | 47 | 592 | 24 | 0 | 663 | 1846 | 0 |
| 8:00 | 55 | 25 | 34 | 0 | 114 | 42 | 140 | 40 | 0 | 222 | 13 | 15 | 55 | 0 | 83 | 36 | 213 | 7 | 0 | 256 | 675 | 0 |
| 8:15 | 55 | 14 | 24 | 0 | 93 | 41 | 138 | 52 | 0 | 231 | 10 | 9 | 47 | 0 | 66 | 32 | 229 | 6 | 0 | 267 | 657 | 0 |
| 8:30 | 36 | 20 | 26 | 0 | 82 | 27 | 110 | 43 | 0 | 180 | 5 | 7 | 26 | 0 | 38 | 26 | 209 | 5 | 0 | 240 | 540 | 0 |
| 8:45 | 37 | 13 | 31 | 0 | 81 | 43 | 107 | 45 | 0 | 195 | 9 | 16 | 40 | 0 | 65 | 28 | 155 | 11 | 0 | 194 | 535 | 0 |
| Total | 183 | 72 | 115 | 0 | 370 | 153 | 495 | 180 |  | 828 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2407 |  |


| 16:00 | 39 | 17 | 36 | 0 | 92 | 24 | 157 | 61 | 0 | 242 | 10 | 23 | 39 | 0 | 72 | 43 | 221 | 9 | 0 | 273 | 679 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:15 | 43 | 18 | 37 | 0 | 98 | 51 | 183 | 80 | 0 | 314 | 6 | 23 | 34 | 0 | 63 | 40 | 243 | 14 | 0 | 297 | 772 | 0 |
| 16:30 | 55 | 14 | 38 | 0 | 107 | 40 | 122 | 50 | 0 | 212 | 12 | 17 | 35 | 0 | 64 | 55 | 194 | 7 | 0 | 256 | 639 | 0 |
| 16:45 | 61 | 9 | 48 | 0 | 118 | 30 | 143 | 44 | 0 | 217 | 12 | 17 | 29 | 0 | 58 | 35 | 135 | 7 | 0 | 177 | 570 | 0 |
| Total | 198 | 58 | 159 | 0 | 415 | 145 | 605 | 235 | 0 | 985 | 40 | 80 | 137 | 0 | 257 | 173 | 793 | 37 | 0 | 1003 | 2660 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 54 | 19 | 48 | 0 | 121 | 51 | 148 | 64 | 0 | 263 | 14 | 14 | 46 | 0 | 74 | 48 | 188 | 10 | 0 | 246 | 704 | 0 |
| 17:15 | 62 | 19 | 42 | 0 | 123 | 42 | 154 | 89 | 0 | 285 | 10 | 13 | 35 | 0 | 58 | 39 | 165 | 8 | 0 | 212 | 678 | 0 |
| 17:30 | 59 | 16 | 46 | 0 | 121 | 38 | 174 | 66 | 0 | 278 | 5 | 13 | 33 | 0 | 51 | 31 | 147 | 4 | 0 | 182 | 632 | 0 |
| 17:45 | 40 | 14 | 33 | 0 | 87 | 61 | 149 | 83 | 0 | 293 | 13 | 25 | 33 | 0 | 71 | 41 | 149 | 8 | 0 | 198 | 649 | 0 |
| Total | 215 | 68 | 169 | 0 | 452 | 192 | 625 | 302 | 0 | 1119 | 42 | 65 | 147 | 0 | 254 | 159 | 649 | 30 | 0 | 838 | 2663 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 700 | 243 | 531 | 0 | 1474 | 612 | 2187 | 843 | 0 | 3642 | 157 | 240 | 602 | 0 | 999 | 501 | 2840 | 120 | 0 | 3461 | 9576 | 0 |
| Apprch \% | 47.5\% | 16.5\% | 36.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 16.8\% | 60.0\% | 23.1\% | 0.0\% |  | 15.7\% | 24.0\% | 60.3\% | 0.0\% |  | 14.5\% | 82.1\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |
| Total \% | 7.3\% | 2.5\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 15.4\% | 6.4\% | 22.8\% | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 38.0\% | 1.6\% | 2.5\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 10.4\% | 5.2\% | 29.7\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 36.1\% | 100.0\% |  |


| AM PEAK HOUR | Madera Blvd Southbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | Madera Blvd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:45 to 08:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour For Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:45 | 41 | 17 | 31 | 0 | 89 | 24 | 155 | 52 | 0 | 231 | 20 | 21 | 39 | 0 | 80 | 19 | 194 | 3 | 0 | 216 | 616 |
| 8:00 | 55 | 25 | 34 | 0 | 114 | 42 | 140 | 40 | 0 | 222 | 13 | 15 | 55 | 0 | 83 | 36 | 213 | 7 | 0 | 256 | 675 |
| 8:15 | 55 | 14 | 24 | 0 | 93 | 41 | 138 | 52 | 0 | 231 | 10 | 9 | 47 | 0 | 66 | 32 | 229 | 6 | 0 | 267 | 657 |
| 8:30 | 36 | 20 | 26 | 0 | 82 | 27 | 110 | 43 | 0 | 180 | 5 | 7 | 26 | 0 | 38 | 26 | 209 | 5 | 0 | 240 | 540 |
| Total Volume | 187 | 76 | 115 | 0 | 378 | 134 | 543 | 187 | 0 | 864 | 48 | 52 | 167 | 0 | 267 | 113 | 845 | 21 | 0 | 979 | 2488 |
| \% App Total | 49.5\% | 20.1\% | 30.4\% | 0.0\% |  | 15.5\% | 62.8\% | 21.6\% | 0.0\% |  | 18.0\% | 19.5\% | 62.5\% | 0.0\% |  | 11.5\% | 86.3\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
| PHF | . 850 | . 760 | . 846 | . 000 | . 829 | . 798 | . 876 | . 899 | . 000 | . 935 | . 600 | . 619 | . 759 | . 000 | . 804 | . 785 | . 922 | . 750 | . 000 | . 917 | . 921 |
| PM PEAK HOUR | Madera Blvd Southbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | Madera Blvd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 16:15 to 17:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour For Entire Intersection Begins at 16:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:15 | 43 | 18 | 37 | 0 | 98 | 51 | 183 | 80 | 0 | 314 | 6 | 23 | 34 | 0 | 63 | 40 | 243 | 14 | 0 | 297 | 772 |
| 16:30 | 55 | 14 | 38 | 0 | 107 | 40 | 122 | 50 | 0 | 212 | 12 | 17 | 35 | 0 | 64 | 55 | 194 | 7 | 0 | 256 | 639 |
| 16:45 | 61 | 9 | 48 | 0 | 118 | 30 | 143 | 44 | 0 | 217 | 12 | 17 | 29 | 0 | 58 | 35 | 135 | 7 | 0 | 177 | 570 |
| 17:00 | 54 | 19 | 48 | 0 | 121 | 51 | 148 | 64 | 0 | 263 | 14 | 14 | 46 | 0 | 74 | 48 | 188 | 10 | 0 | 246 | 704 |
| Total Volume | 213 | 60 | 171 | 0 | 444 | 172 | 596 | 238 | 0 | 1006 | 44 | 71 | 144 | 0 | 259 | 178 | 760 | 38 | 0 | 976 | 2685 |
| \% App Total | 48.0\% | 13.5\% | 38.5\% | 0.0\% |  | 17.1\% | 59.2\% | 23.7\% | 0.0\% |  | 17.0\% | 27.4\% | 55.6\% | 0.0\% |  | 18.2\% | 77.9\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
| PHF\| | . 873 | . 789 | . 891 | . 000 | . 917 | . 843 | . 814 | . 744 | . 000 | . 801 | . 786 | . 772 | . 783 | . 000 | . 875 | . 809 | . 782 | . 679 | . 000 | . 822 | . 869 |

## PC Attachment 1



All Vehicles \& Uturns On Unshifted
Bikes \& Peds On Bank 1
Unshifted Count $=$ All Vehicles \& Uturns

|  | San Clemente Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | Paradise Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | San Clemente Dr Northbound |  |  |  |  | Paradise Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total | Uturns Total |
| 7:00 | 0 | 97 | 7 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 235 | 0 |
| 7:15 | 0 | 134 | 3 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 195 | 24 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 27 | 359 | 0 |
| 7:30 | 0 | 180 | 4 | 0 | 184 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 221 | 0 | 0 | 224 | 29 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 32 | 440 | 0 |
| 7:45 | 0 | 226 | 2 | 0 | 228 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 283 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 536 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 637 | 16 | 0 | 653 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 798 | 0 | 0 | 808 | 97 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 109 | 1570 | 0 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 346 | 9 | 0 | 355 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 258 | 0 | 1 | 266 | 29 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 32 | 653 | 1 |
| 8:15 | 0 | 239 | 6 | 0 | 245 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 324 | 0 | 0 | 327 | 25 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 29 | 601 | 0 |
| 8:30 | 0 | 189 | 11 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 224 | 0 | 0 | 231 | 27 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 30 | 461 | 0 |
| 8:45 | 0 | 165 | 5 | 0 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 20 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 27 | 402 | 0 |


| 16:00 | 0 | 167 | 5 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 228 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 33 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 44 | 448 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:15 | 0 | 203 | 9 | 0 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 215 | 43 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 54 | 481 | 0 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 174 | 3 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 209 | 39 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 50 | 436 | 0 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 184 | 5 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 54 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 65 | 428 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 728 | 22 | 0 | 750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 805 | 0 | 0 | 830 | 169 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 213 | 1793 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 196 | 9 | 0 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 212 | 73 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 82 | 499 | 0 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 196 | 7 | 0 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 56 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 69 | 479 | 0 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 204 | 6 | 0 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 187 | 61 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 69 | 466 | 0 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 250 | 4 | 0 | 254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 62 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 69 | 500 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 846 | 26 | 0 | 872 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 762 | 0 | 0 | 783 | 252 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 289 | 1944 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 3150 | 95 | 0 | 3245 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 3369 | 0 | 1 | 3450 | 619 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 729 | 7424 | 1 |
| Apprch \% | 0.0\% | 97.1\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 2.3\% | 97.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 84.9\% | 0.0\% | 15.1\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |
| Total \% | 0.0\% | 42.4\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 43.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 45.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 46.5\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 100.0\% |  |


| AM PEAK HOUR | San Clemente Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | Paradise Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | San Clemente Dr Northbound |  |  |  |  | Paradise Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:45 to 08:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour For Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:45 | 0 | 226 | 2 | 0 | 228 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 283 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 536 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 346 | 9 | 0 | 355 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 258 | 0 | 1 | 266 | 29 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 32 | 653 |
| 8:15 | 0 | 239 | 6 | 0 | 245 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 324 | 0 | 0 | 327 | 25 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 29 | 601 |
| 8:30 | 0 | 189 | 11 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 224 | 0 | 0 | 231 | 27 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 30 | 461 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 1000 | 28 | 0 | 1028 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 1086 | 0 | 1 | 1107 | 101 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 116 | 2251 |
| \% App Total | 0.0\% | 97.3\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 1.8\% | 98.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |  | 87.1\% | 0.0\% | 12.9\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
| PHF\| | . 000 | . 723 | . 636 | . 000 | . 724 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 714 | . 838 | . 000 | . 250 | . 846 | . 871 | . 000 | . 750 | . 000 | . 906 | . 862 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PM PEAK } \\ \text { HOUR } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | San Clemente DrSouthbound |  |  |  |  | Paradise Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | San Clemente Dr Northbound |  |  |  |  | Paradise Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 17:00 to 18:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour For Entire Intersection Begins at 17:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 | 0 | 196 | 9 | 0 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 212 | 73 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 82 | 499 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 196 | 7 | 0 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 56 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 69 | 479 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 204 | 6 | 0 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 187 | 61 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 69 | 466 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 250 | 4 | 0 | 254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 62 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 69 | 500 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 846 | 26 | 0 | 872 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 762 | 0 | 0 | 783 | 252 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 289 | 1944 |
| \% App Total | 0.0\% | 97.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 2.7\% | 97.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 87.2\% | 0.0\% | 12.8\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
| PHF\| | . 000 | . 846 | . 722 | . 000 | 858 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 750 | . 920 | . 000 | . 000 | . 923 | . 863 | . 000 | . 712 | . 000 | . 881 | . 972 |

## PC Attachment 1

ity of Corte Mader
All Vehicles \& Uturns On Unshifted
Bikes \& Peds On Bank 1
Unshifted Count = All Vehicles \& Uturns

|  | Paradise Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | Paradise Dr Northbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total | Uturns Total |
| 7:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 98 | 50 | 0 | 148 | 150 | 0 |
| 7:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |  | 0 | 11 | 0 | 127 | 60 | 0 | 187 | 198 | 0 |
| 7:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 167 | 48 | 0 | 215 | 222 | 0 |
| 7:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 220 | 51 | 0 | 271 | 283 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 5 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 612 | 209 | 0 | 821 | 853 | 0 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 313 | 77 | 0 | 390 | 400 | 0 |
| 8:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 222 | 74 | 0 | 296 | 307 | 0 |
| 8:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 178 | 67 | 0 | 245 | 259 | 0 |
| 8:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 158 | 107 | 0 | 265 | 273 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 4 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 871 | 325 | 0 | 1196 | 1239 | 0 |


| 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 152 | 33 | 0 | 185 | 201 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 189 | 40 | 0 | 229 | 249 | 0 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 168 | 39 | 0 | 207 | 224 | 0 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 181 | 32 | 0 | 213 | 221 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 7 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 690 | 144 | 0 | 834 | 895 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 175 | 48 | 0 | 223 | 244 | 0 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 195 | 36 | 0 | 231 | 248 | 0 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 192 | 28 | 0 | 220 | 243 | 0 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 241 | 33 | 0 | 274 | 290 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 12 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 803 | 145 | 0 | 948 | 1025 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 185 | 28 | 0 | 213 | 0 | 2976 | 823 | 0 | 3799 | 4012 | 0 |
| Apprch \% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 86.9\% | 13.1\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 78.3\% | 21.7\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |
| Total \% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 74.2\% | 20.5\% | 0.0\% | 94.7\% | 100.0\% |  |


| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AM PEAK } \\ \text { HOUR } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Paradise Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | Paradise Dr Northbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:45 to 08:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour For Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 220 | 51 | 0 | 271 | 283 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 313 | 77 | 0 | 390 | 400 |
| 8:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 222 | 74 | 0 | 296 | 307 |
| 8:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 178 | 67 | 0 | 245 | 259 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 6 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 933 | 269 | 0 | 1202 | 1249 |
| \% App Total | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 87.2\% | 12.8\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 77.6\% | 22.4\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
| PHF\| | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 788 | . 500 | . 000 | . 839 | . 000 | . 745 | . 873 | . 000 | . 771 | . 781 |
| PM PEAK HOUR | Paradise Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | Paradise Dr Northbound |  |  |  |  | Tamalpais Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| START TIME | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | UTURNS | APP.TOTAL | Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 17:00 to 18:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour For Entire Intersection Begins at 17:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 175 | 48 | 0 | 223 | 244 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 195 | 36 | 0 | 231 | 248 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 192 | 28 | 0 | 220 | 243 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 241 | 33 | 0 | 274 | 290 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 12 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 803 | 145 | 0 | 948 | 1025 |
| \% App Total | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 84.4\% | 15.6\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 84.7\% | 15.3\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
| PHF\| | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 739 | . 750 | . 000 | . 837 | . 000 | . 833 | . 755 | . 000 | . 865 | . 884 |

## APPENDIX B



# PC Attachment 1 

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Amy's Drive Thru
Existing Conditions
Mid-Afternoon Peak Hour

Intersection 1 San Clemente Dr/Tamalpais Dr-Redwood Hwy Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 848 | 841 | 99.2\% | 22.1 | 3.7 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 114 | 115 | 101.2\% | 25.4 | 5.4 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 962 | 956 | 99.4\% | 22.5 | 3.8 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 554 | 558 | 100.7\% | 20.3 | 2.3 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 627 | 628 | 100.2\% | 1.2 | 0.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,181 | 1,186 | 100.4\% | 10.2 | 1.3 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 83 | 79 | 95.7\% | 23.9 | 3.2 | C |
|  | Through | 454 | 471 | 103.7\% | 12.8 | 1.5 | B |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 537 | 550 | 102.4\% | 14.3 | 1.3 | B |
| Total |  | 2,680 | 2,693 | 100.5\% | 15.4 | 0.9 | B |

Intersection 2 US 101 On-ramp-US 101 Off-ramp/Tamalpais Dr Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 674 | 660 | 97.9\% | 45.6 | 10.2 | D |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 434 | 427 | 98.5\% | 9.8 | 1.7 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,108 | 1,087 | 98.1\% | 31.5 | 6.7 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 786 | 803 | 102.2\% | 15.8 | 1.3 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 796 | 759 | 95.3\% | 7.7 | 1.0 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,582 | 1,562 | 98.7\% | 11.8 | 1.1 | B |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 721 | 718 | 99.6\% | 2.7 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 581 | 583 | 100.3\% | 3.3 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,302 | 1,301 | 99.9\% | 3.0 | 0.2 | A |
| Total |  | 3,992 | 3,951 | 99.0\% | 14.5 | 2.4 | B |

# PC Attachment 1 

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Amy's Drive Thru
Existing Conditions
Mid-Afternoon Peak Hour

Intersection 3 US 101 SB Off-ramp/Tamalpais Dr
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | Left Turn | 632 | 632 | 100.0\% | 26.3 | 4.3 | C |
|  | Through Right Turn | 328 | 334 | 101.7\% | 17.7 | 2.4 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 960 | 965 | 100.6\% | 23.3 | 3.6 | C |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 950 | 953 | 100.3\% | 8.5 | 0.8 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 950 | 953 | 100.3\% | 8.5 | 0.8 | A |
| WB | Left Turn Through $\qquad$ | 1,008 | 1,013 | $100.5 \%$ | $12.4$ | $0.6$ | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1395 | 1,393 | 99.9\% | 9.7 | 0.5 | A |
| Total |  | 3,305 | 3,312 | 100.2\% | 13.3 | 1.3 | B |

Intersection $4 \quad$ Town Center Dr/Tamalpais Dr Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | Left Turn | 323 | 316 | 97.8\% | 18.8 | 2.1 | B |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 41 | 43 | 104.6\% | 18.5 | 3.8 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 364 | 359 | 98.5\% | 18.8 | 1.9 | B |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,037 | 1,013 | 97.7\% | 10.5 | 1.8 | B |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,037 | 1,013 | 97.7\% | 10.5 | 1.8 | B |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,107 | 1,124 | 101.5\% | 8.9 | 4.0 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 229 | 236 | 102.8\% | 1.7 | 0.4 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,336 | 1,359 | 101.7\% | 7.7 | 3.4 | A |
| Total |  | 2,737 | 2,731 | 99.8\% | 10.2 | 2.0 | B |

# PC Attachment 1 

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Amy's Drive Thru
Existing Conditions
Mid-Afternoon Peak Hour

| Intersection 5 |  | Madera Blvd/Tamalpais Dr |  |  |  |  | Signal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 55 | 54 | 97.8\% | 47.2 | 8.0 | D |
|  | Through | 72 | 71 | 99.0\% | 46.5 | 8.0 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 158 | 151 | 95.6\% | 17.1 | 5.5 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 285 | 276 | 96.9\% | 29.7 | 4.0 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 216 | 213 | 98.5\% | 42.6 | 4.1 | D |
|  | Through | 85 | 89 | 104.5\% | 43.5 | 4.7 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 188 | 188 | 100.0\% | 10.8 | 3.4 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 489 | 490 | 100.1\% | 31.3 | 2.1 | C |
| EB | Left Turn | 144 | 137 | 95.1\% | 65.6 | 18.2 | E |
|  | Through | 664 | 649 | 97.7\% | 38.0 | 7.7 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 27 | 30 | 111.5\% | 33.6 | 14.0 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 835 | 816 | 97.7\% | 42.7 | 8.3 | D |
| WB | Left Turn | 166 | 164 | 98.6\% | 72.7 | 11.0 | E |
|  | Through | 691 | 683 | 98.8\% | 36.3 | 6.0 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 291 | 292 | 100.2\% | 10.0 | 3.0 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,148 | 1,138 | 99.1\% | 35.2 | 4.8 | D |
| Total |  | 2,757 | 2,720 | 98.6\% | 36.3 | 4.2 | D |


|  | 4 |  | 4 | 9 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{4}$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 104 | 20 | 33 | 855 | 655 | 30 |  |  |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 104 | 20 | 33 | 855 | 655 | 30 |  |  |
| Number | 7 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 16 |  |  |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 |  |  |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 109 | 0 | 35 | 900 | 689 | 32 |  |  |
| Adj No. of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Cap, veh/h | 223 | 199 | 580 | 2558 | 2082 | 97 |  |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.72 | 0.60 | 0.60 |  |  |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1774 | 1583 | 1774 | 3632 | 3537 | 160 |  |  |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 109 | 0 | 35 | 900 | 354 | 367 |  |  |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1774 | 1583 | 1774 | 1770 | 1770 | 1835 |  |  |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 5.9 |  |  |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 5.9 |  |  |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.09 |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 223 | 199 | 580 | 2558 | 1070 | 1109 |  |  |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.33 |  |  |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 455 | 406 | 719 | 2558 | 1070 | 1109 |  |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 24.4 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 5.9 | 5.9 |  |  |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 |  |  |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.2 |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 25.1 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 6.7 | 6.7 |  |  |
| LnGrp LOS | C |  | A | A | A | A |  |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 109 |  |  | 935 | 721 |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 25.1 |  |  | 3.5 | 6.7 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | A | A |  |  |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ |  | 48.3 |  | 11.7 | 7.1 | 41.2 |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | * 4.9 |  | * 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.9 |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | * 36 |  | * 15 | 7.8 | 23.7 |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 7.7 |  | 5.4 | 2.4 | 7.9 |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 13.5 |  | 0.1 | 0.0 | 9.6 |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 6.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PC Attachment 1

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Amy's Drive Thru
Existing
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 1
San Clemente Dr/Tamalpais Dr-Redwood Hwy
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 926 | 947 | 102.2\% | 33.3 | 18.1 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 103 | 102 | 99.0\% | 40.9 | 29.4 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 1,029 | 1,049 | 101.9\% | 34.1 | 19.3 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 602 | 602 | 100.0\% | 21.5 | 2.7 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 803 | 810 | 100.9\% | 1.9 | 0.4 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,405 | 1,412 | 100.5\% | 10.2 | 1.7 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 95 | 94 | 99.3\% | 24.9 | 4.6 | C |
|  | Through | 413 | 421 | 102.0\% | 10.5 | 2.0 | B |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 508 | 515 | 101.5\% | 13.2 | 1.9 | B |
| Total |  | 2,942 | 2,976 | 101.2\% | 19.3 | 6.9 | B |

Intersection 2 US 101 On-ramp-US 101 Off-ramp/Tamalpais Dr Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 632 | 619 | 97.9\% | 37.2 | 5.5 | D |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 513 | 514 | 100.1\% | 12.7 | 2.0 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,145 | 1,132 | 98.9\% | 26.2 | 3.8 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 960 | 976 | 101.7\% | 18.0 | 1.3 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 657 | 656 | 99.8\% | 10.3 | 1.6 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,617 | 1,632 | 100.9\% | 15.0 | 1.3 | B |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 718 | 721 | 100.4\% | 2.9 | 0.4 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 621 | 643 | 103.6\% | 4.5 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,339 | 1,364 | 101.9\% | 3.7 | 0.4 | A |
| Total |  | 4,101 | 4,128 | 100.7\% | 14.3 | 1.5 | B |

# PC Attachment 1 

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Amy's Drive Thru
Existing
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 3 US 101 SB Off-ramp/Tamalpais Dr
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | $\begin{aligned} & 706 \\ & 329 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 707 \\ & 324 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.2 \% \\ 98.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.9 \\ & 20.3 \end{aligned}$ | 7.2 4.5 | C C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,035 | 1,032 | 99.7\% | 29.1 | 6.2 | C |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 911 | 930 | 102.1\% | 8.6 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 911 | 930 | 102.1\% | 8.6 | 0.5 | A |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | $\begin{gathered} 1,008 \\ 342 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,003 \\ 344 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 99.5\% } \\ \text { 100.4\% } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.9 \\ 2.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \\ & 0.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Subtotal | 1,350 | 1,347 | 99.8\% | 9.5 | 0.5 | A |
| Total |  | 3,296 | 3,309 | 100.4\% | 15.5 | 2.2 | B |

Intersection $4 \quad$ Town Center Dr/Tamalpais Dr Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | Left Turn | 288 | 294 | 102.0\% | 20.0 | 2.4 | B |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 55 | 56 | 101.5\% | 18.9 | 5.4 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 343 | 350 | 102.0\% | 19.7 | 2.3 | B |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,013 | 1,013 | 100.0\% | 9.6 | 1.4 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,013 | 1,013 | 100.0\% | 9.6 | 1.4 | A |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,109 | 1,107 | 99.8\% | 7.2 | 4.2 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 228 | 227 | 99.6\% | 3.5 | 1.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,337 | 1,335 | 99.8\% | 6.6 | 3.7 | A |
| Total |  | 2,693 | 2,697 | 100.1\% | 9.5 | 2.0 | A |

# PC Attachment 1 

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Amy's Drive Thru
Existing
PM Peak Hour

| Intersection 5 |  | Madera Blvd/Tamalpais Dr |  |  |  |  | Signal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 42 | 45 | 107.4\% | 40.1 | 8.0 | D |
|  | Through | 65 | 65 | 99.7\% | 43.2 | 6.6 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 147 | 151 | 102.7\% | 14.6 | 4.4 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 254 | 261 | 102.7\% | 26.3 | 3.5 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 215 | 216 | 100.5\% | 42.5 | 3.9 | D |
|  | Through | 68 | 71 | 104.7\% | 42.3 | 7.9 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 169 | 168 | 99.1\% | 8.8 | 2.7 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 452 | 455 | 100.6\% | 30.0 | 2.2 | C |
| EB | Left Turn | 159 | 161 | 100.9\% | 52.8 | 13.5 | D |
|  | Through | 651 | 646 | 99.3\% | 35.7 | 11.3 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 30 | 31 | 104.0\% | 35.0 | 12.3 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 840 | 838 | 99.8\% | 39.0 | 10.9 | D |
| WB | Left Turn | 192 | 190 | 99.2\% | 57.0 | 6.1 | E |
|  | Through | 670 | 666 | 99.4\% | 32.8 | 4.3 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 302 | 291 | 96.3\% | 8.8 | 1.6 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,164 | 1,147 | 98.5\% | 31.3 | 3.6 | C |
| Total |  | 2,710 | 2,701 | 99.7\% | 33.1 | 4.9 | C |


|  | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | F | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 252 | 37 | 21 | 762 | 846 | 26 |  |  |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 252 | 37 | 21 | 762 | 846 | 26 |  |  |
| Number | 7 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 16 |  |  |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.97 |  |  |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1881 | 1881 | 1881 | 1881 | 1881 | 1900 |  |  |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 255 | 0 | 21 | 770 | 855 | 26 |  |  |
| Adj No. of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Cap, veh/h | 307 | 274 | 461 | 2419 | 2037 | 62 |  |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.68 | 0.58 | 0.58 |  |  |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1792 | 1599 | 1792 | 3668 | 3632 | 108 |  |  |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 255 | 0 | 21 | 770 | 432 | 449 |  |  |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1792 | 1599 | 1792 | 1787 | 1787 | 1859 |  |  |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 8.1 | 8.1 |  |  |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 8.1 | 8.1 |  |  |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.06 |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 307 | 274 | 461 | 2419 | 1029 | 1070 |  |  |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.83 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.42 |  |  |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 460 | 410 | 632 | 2419 | 1029 | 1070 |  |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 0.99 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.86 | 0.86 |  |  |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 24.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 |  |  |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 4.4 |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 28.8 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 8.2 | 8.2 |  |  |
| LnGrp LOS | C |  | A | A | A | A |  |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 255 |  |  | 791 | 881 |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 28.8 |  |  | 4.3 | 8.2 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | A | A |  |  |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ |  | 45.5 |  | 14.5 | 6.1 | 39.4 |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), $s$ |  | * 4.9 |  | * 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.9 |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | * 36 |  | * 15 | 7.8 | 23.7 |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 7.3 |  | 10.3 | 2.3 | 10.1 |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 13.7 |  | 0.2 | 0.0 | 8.7 |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# PC Attachment 1 

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

## Amy's Drive Thru <br> Existing Plus Project Conditions <br> Mid-Afternoon Peak

Intersection 1 San Clemente Dr/Tamalpais Dr-Redwood Hwy
Signal

|  |  | Demand | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Movement | Volume (vph) | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 918 | 901 | 98.1\% | 25.4 | 4.9 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 114 | 112 | 98.6\% | 31.7 | 10.6 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,032 | 1,013 | 98.1\% | 26.2 | 5.6 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 574 | 570 | 99.3\% | 21.0 | 2.3 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 627 | 634 | 101.1\% | 2.0 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,201 | 1,204 | 100.3\% | 11.0 | 1.7 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 83 | 83 | 100.4\% | 24.1 | 2.9 | C |
|  | Through | 455 | 469 | 103.1\% | 12.2 | 1.4 | B |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 538 | 552 | 102.7\% | 14.1 | 1.2 | B |
| Total |  | 2,771 | 2,770 | 100.0\% | 17.1 | 2.3 | B |

Intersection $2 \quad$ US 101 On-ramp-US 101 Off-ramp/Tamalpais Dr Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 674 | 667 | 98.9\% | 46.2 | 7.2 | D |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 464 | 460 | 99.2\% | 11.7 | 2.2 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,138 | 1,127 | 99.0\% | 32.1 | 5.1 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 823 | 831 | 101.0\% | 18.5 | 0.9 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 796 | 770 | 96.7\% | 10.6 | 2.1 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,619 | 1,601 | 98.9\% | 14.7 | 1.3 | B |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 771 | 770 | 99.9\% | 2.9 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 602 | 586 | 97.4\% | 4.2 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,373 | 1,357 | 98.8\% | 3.5 | 0.4 | A |
| Total |  | 4,130 | 4,084 | 98.9\% | 15.9 | 1.4 | B |

# PC Attachment 1 

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Amy's Drive Thru
Existing Plus Project Conditions
Mid-Afternoon Peak

Intersection 3 US 101 SB Off-ramp/Tamalpais Dr
Signal

Intersection $4 \quad$ Town Center Dr/Tamalpais Dr Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | Left Turn | 323 | 322 | 99.8\% | 19.2 | 3.0 | B |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 41 | 44 | 106.6\% | 18.7 | 4.9 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 364 | 366 | 100.6\% | 19.1 | 2.8 | B |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,048 | 1,041 | 99.3\% | 9.7 | 1.4 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,048 | 1,041 | 99.3\% | 9.7 | 1.4 | A |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,118 | 1,135 | 101.5\% | 13.5 | 7.1 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 229 | 228 | 99.6\% | 5.6 | 3.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,347 | 1,363 | 101.2\% | 12.2 | 6.4 | B |
| Total |  | 2,759 | 2,770 | 100.4\% | 12.2 | 3.5 | B |

# PC Attachment 1 

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Amy's Drive Thru
Existing Plus Project Conditions
Mid-Afternoon Peak

| Intersection 5 |  | Madera Blvd/Tamalpais Dr |  |  |  |  | Signal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 55 | 53 | 96.5\% | 37.1 | 4.9 | D |
|  | Through | 72 | 77 | 107.4\% | 39.2 | 7.9 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 158 | 157 | 99.6\% | 16.7 | 4.9 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 285 | 288 | 100.9\% | 27.0 | 3.1 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 219 | 224 | 102.4\% | 41.3 | 5.2 | D |
|  | Through | 85 | 87 | 102.1\% | 44.2 | 7.6 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 188 | 190 | 100.9\% | 10.0 | 2.4 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 492 | 501 | 101.8\% | 29.9 | 3.1 | C |
| EB | Left Turn | 144 | 140 | 96.9\% | 54.5 | 15.0 | D |
|  | Through | 671 | 658 | 98.0\% | 39.3 | 8.2 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 27 | 29 | 108.1\% | 35.5 | 22.6 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 842 | 827 | 98.2\% | 42.0 | 8.2 | D |
| WB | Left Turn | 166 | 166 | 99.9\% | 63.7 | 12.9 | E |
|  | Through | 701 | 687 | 97.9\% | 38.0 | 7.9 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 292 | 294 | 100.6\% | 10.1 | 2.5 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,159 | 1,146 | 98.9\% | 35.7 | 4.5 | D |
| Total |  | 2,778 | 2,761 | 99.4\% | 35.7 | 3.8 | D |


|  | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 174 | 30 | 40 | 855 | 655 | 30 |  |  |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 174 | 30 | 40 | 855 | 655 | 30 |  |  |
| Number | 7 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 16 |  |  |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 |  |  |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 183 | 0 | 42 | 900 | 689 | 32 |  |  |
| Adj No. of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Cap, veh/h | 254 | 226 | 570 | 2497 | 1998 | 93 |  |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.71 | 0.58 | 0.58 |  |  |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1774 | 1583 | 1774 | 3632 | 3537 | 160 |  |  |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 183 | 0 | 42 | 900 | 354 | 367 |  |  |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1774 | 1583 | 1774 | 1770 | 1770 | 1835 |  |  |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 |  |  |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 |  |  |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.09 |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 254 | 226 | 570 | 2497 | 1026 | 1064 |  |  |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.72 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.36 | 0.34 | 0.35 |  |  |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 455 | 406 | 697 | 2497 | 1026 | 1064 |  |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 24.6 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 6.6 | 6.6 |  |  |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 |  |  |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.4 |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 26.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 7.5 | 7.5 |  |  |
| LnGrp LOS | C |  | A | A | A | A |  |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 183 |  |  | 942 | 721 |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 26.0 |  |  | 3.9 | 7.5 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | A | A |  |  |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ |  | 47.2 |  | 12.8 | 7.5 | 39.7 |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), $s$ |  | * 4.9 |  | * 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.9 |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | * 36 |  | * 15 | 7.8 | 23.7 |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 8.0 |  | 7.9 | 2.5 | 8.3 |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 13.4 |  | 0.1 | 0.0 | 9.4 |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# PC Attachment 1 

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Amy's Drive Thru
Average Results from 10 Runs
Existing Plus Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 1
San Clemente Dr/Tamalpais Dr-Redwood Hwy
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 984 | 983 | 99.9\% | 34.6 | 11.0 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 103 | 106 | 103.1\% | 46.4 | 17.2 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 1,087 | 1,089 | 100.2\% | 35.7 | 11.6 | D |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 618 | 621 | 100.5\% | 22.0 | 1.5 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 803 | 814 | 101.3\% | 2.0 | 0.4 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,421 | 1,435 | 101.0\% | 10.6 | 1.0 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 95 | 93 | 98.3\% | 25.0 | 3.2 | C |
|  | Through | 414 | 428 | 103.4\% | 11.4 | 1.8 | B |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 509 | 522 | 102.5\% | 14.0 | 1.1 | B |
| Total |  | 3,017 | 3,045 | 100.9\% | 20.1 | 4.4 | C |

Intersection 2 US 101 On-ramp-US 101 Off-ramp/Tamalpais Dr Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 632 | 633 | 100.2\% | 38.0 | 6.3 | D |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 548 | 547 | 99.8\% | 14.4 | 2.3 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,180 | 1,181 | 100.0\% | 27.3 | 4.2 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,005 | 1,018 | 101.3\% | 18.5 | 1.3 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 657 | 633 | 96.3\% | 10.9 | 2.0 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,662 | 1,651 | 99.3\% | 15.6 | 1.5 | B |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 760 | 757 | 99.6\% | 3.0 | 0.4 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 638 | 641 | 100.5\% | 4.4 | 0.2 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,398 | 1,398 | 100.0\% | 3.7 | 0.2 | A |
| Total |  | 4,240 | 4,229 | 99.7\% | 14.9 | 1.7 | B |

# PC Attachment 1 

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Amy's Drive Thru
Average Results from 10 Runs
Existing Plus Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 3 US 101 SB Off-ramp/Tamalpais Dr
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | Left Turn | 737 | 730 | 99.1\% | 41.3 | 12.7 | D |
|  | Through Right Turn | 329 | 326 | 99.2\% | 24.3 | 5.8 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,066 | 1,057 | 99.1\% | 36.1 | 10.4 | D |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 925 | 939 | 101.5\% | 8.2 | 1.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 925 | 939 | 101.5\% | 8.2 | 1.1 | A |
| WB | Left Turn Through $\qquad$ | $1,017$ | 1,034 | 101.6\% | $12.3$ | $1.0$ | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1392 | 1,405 | 100.9\% | 9.9 | 0.8 | A |
| Total |  | 3,383 | 3,400 | 100.5\% | 17.6 | 3.5 | B |

Intersection $4 \quad$ Town Center Dr/Tamalpais Dr Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | Left Turn | 288 | 285 | 99.0\% | 19.7 | 1.8 | B |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 55 | 60 | 109.1\% | 19.7 | 9.7 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 343 | 345 | 100.6\% | 19.8 | 1.9 | B |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,027 | 1,025 | 99.8\% | 11.4 | 0.7 | B |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,027 | 1,025 | 99.8\% | 11.4 | 0.7 | B |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,118 | 1,155 | 103.3\% | 13.5 | 6.6 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 228 | 225 | 98.8\% | 5.3 | 2.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,346 | 1,380 | 102.5\% | 12.2 | 5.9 | B |
| Total |  | 2,716 | 2,750 | 101.3\% | 13.0 | 2.8 | B |

# PC Attachment 1 

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Amy's Drive Thru
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

| Intersection 5 |  | Madera Blvd/Tamalpais Dr |  |  |  |  | Signal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 42 | 42 | 100.0\% | 45.9 | 12.1 | D |
|  | Through | 65 | 66 | 102.0\% | 47.5 | 5.9 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 147 | 150 | 102.0\% | 18.5 | 5.1 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 254 | 258 | 101.7\% | 29.9 | 3.0 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 220 | 219 | 99.3\% | 40.5 | 3.1 | D |
|  | Through | 68 | 71 | 103.8\% | 44.2 | 7.5 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 169 | 169 | 99.9\% | 10.0 | 1.4 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 457 | 458 | 100.2\% | 29.9 | 2.9 | C |
| EB | Left Turn | 159 | 156 | 98.1\% | 66.6 | 15.3 | E |
|  | Through | 660 | 655 | 99.3\% | 50.0 | 16.7 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 30 | 28 | 92.0\% | 53.1 | 22.5 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 849 | 839 | 98.8\% | 53.2 | 15.3 | D |
| WB | Left Turn | 192 | 185 | 96.3\% | 64.6 | 7.4 | E |
|  | Through | 678 | 687 | 101.3\% | 35.2 | 3.9 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 303 | 311 | 102.6\% | 11.7 | 2.7 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,173 | 1,182 | 100.8\% | 33.4 | 3.1 | C |
| Total |  | 2,733 | 2,737 | 100.1\% | 38.7 | 5.5 | D |

HCM 2010 Signalized Intersection Summary
6: Paradise/San Clemente

|  | 3 |  | 4 | 9 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | F' | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 310 | 45 | 30 | 762 | 846 | 26 |  |  |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 310 | 45 | 30 | 762 | 846 | 26 |  |  |
| Number | 7 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 16 |  |  |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 |  |  |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 326 | 0 | 32 | 802 | 891 | 27 |  |  |
| Adj No. of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Cap, veh/h | 377 | 336 | 422 | 2251 | 1827 | 55 |  |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.64 | 0.52 | 0.52 |  |  |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1774 | 1583 | 1774 | 3632 | 3600 | 106 |  |  |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 326 | 0 | 32 | 802 | 450 | 468 |  |  |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 1774 | 1583 | 1774 | 1770 | 1770 | 1844 |  |  |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 10.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 6.4 | 9.8 | 9.8 |  |  |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 10.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 6.4 | 9.8 | 9.8 |  |  |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.06 |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 377 | 336 | 422 | 2251 | 922 | 961 |  |  |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.87 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.36 | 0.49 | 0.49 |  |  |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 455 | 406 | 568 | 2251 | 922 | 961 |  |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 22.8 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 5.1 | 9.2 | 9.2 |  |  |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 12.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 1.8 |  |  |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.3 | 5.2 | 5.4 |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 35.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 11.1 | 11.0 |  |  |
| LnGrp LOS | C |  | A | A | B | B |  |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 326 |  |  | 834 | 918 |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 35.0 |  |  | 5.6 | 11.0 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | A | B |  |  |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ |  | 43.1 |  | 16.9 | 6.9 | 36.2 |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | * 4.9 |  | * 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.9 |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | * 36 |  | * 15 | 7.8 | 23.7 |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 8.4 |  | 12.6 | 2.4 | 11.8 |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 14.2 |  | 0.2 | 0.0 | 8.2 |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 12.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# PC Attachment 1 

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Amy's Drive Thru
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement
Cumulative No Project
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 1
San Clemente Dr/Tamalpais Dr-Redwood Hwy
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 1,080 | 1,053 | 97.5\% | 33.1 | 20.9 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 230 | 221 | 96.0\% | 19.6 | 21.7 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,310 | 1,274 | 97.3\% | 30.7 | 20.9 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 900 | 899 | 99.9\% | 24.2 | 2.1 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 880 | 870 | 98.9\% | 2.1 | 0.6 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,780 | 1,769 | 99.4\% | 12.9 | 1.4 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 220 | 222 | 100.8\% | 53.0 | 16.2 | D |
|  | Through | 660 | 671 | 101.6\% | 14.7 | 4.1 | B |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 880 | 892 | 101.4\% | 23.8 | 6.0 | C |
| Total |  | 3,970 | 3,936 | 99.1\% | 20.9 | 7.8 | C |

Intersection 2 US 101 On-ramp-US 101 Off-ramp/Tamalpais Dr Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 960 | 959 | 99.9\% | 77.3 | 32.6 | E |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 730 | 721 | 98.8\% | 28.4 | 9.3 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,690 | 1,680 | 99.4\% | 55.7 | 21.2 | E |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,150 | 1,150 | 100.0\% | 14.7 | 2.4 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 815 | 807 | 99.0\% | 11.4 | 2.4 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,965 | 1,957 | 99.6\% | 13.3 | 2.3 | B |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 950 | 935 | 98.4\% | 41.9 | 35.2 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 790 | 782 | 99.0\% | 8.7 | 5.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,740 | 1,717 | 98.7\% | 26.9 | 21.6 | C |
| Total |  | 5,395 | 5,354 | 99.2\% | 30.8 | 12.0 | C |

# PC Attachment 1 

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Amy's Drive Thru
Average Results from 10 Runs
Cumulative No Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 3 US 101 SB Off-ramp/Tamalpais Dr
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | Left Turn | 755 | 744 | 98.5\% | 25.5 | 7.9 | C |
|  | Through Right Turn | 430 | 423 | 98.4\% | 25.6 | 8.1 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,185 | 1,167 | 98.5\% | 25.5 | 8.0 | C |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 1,210 | 1,212 | 100.2\% | 19.9 | 6.6 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,210 | 1,212 | 100.2\% | 19.9 | 6.6 | B |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through | 1,300 | 1,276 | 98.1\% | 71.2 | 21.3 | E |
|  | Right Turn | 610 | 596 | 97.6\% | 32.3 | 12.3 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,910 | 1,871 | 98.0\% | 59.1 | 18.2 | E |
| Total |  | 4,305 | 4,251 | 98.7\% | 38.3 | 8.4 | D |

Intersection $4 \quad$ Town Center Dr/Tamalpais Dr Signal

|  |  | Demand | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Movement | Volume (vph) | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | Left Turn | 470 | 464 | 98.7\% | 23.4 | 8.5 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 90 | 85 | 94.8\% | 24.0 | 6.8 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 560 | 549 | 98.1\% | 23.5 | 7.8 | C |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,300 | 1,312 | 100.9\% | 7.6 | 1.8 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,300 | 1,312 | 100.9\% | 7.6 | 1.8 | A |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,310 | 1,280 | 97.7\% | 43.0 | 8.9 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 420 | 410 | 97.5\% | 21.4 | 4.9 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,730 | 1,690 | 97.7\% | 38.0 | 8.0 | D |
| Total |  | 3,590 | 3,551 | 98.9\% | 24.4 | 3.5 | C |

# PC Attachment 1 

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

## Amy's Drive Thru <br> Cumulative No Project PM Peak Hour

| Intersection 5 |  | Madera Blvd/Tamalpais Dr |  |  |  |  | Signal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 70 | 68 | 97.7\% | 40.9 | 8.1 | D |
|  | Through | 140 | 139 | 99.6\% | 44.5 | 5.8 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 190 | 196 | 102.9\% | 17.4 | 3.9 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 400 | 403 | 100.8\% | 31.0 | 3.8 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 240 | 242 | 100.8\% | 44.1 | 4.4 | D |
|  | Through | 90 | 90 | 100.3\% | 45.8 | 4.5 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 220 | 226 | 102.7\% | 13.0 | 1.3 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 550 | 558 | 101.5\% | 32.2 | 2.7 | C |
| EB | Left Turn | 250 | 239 | 95.4\% | 60.9 | 22.5 | E |
|  | Through | 870 | 874 | 100.4\% | 48.0 | 25.6 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 90 | 87 | 96.9\% | 53.6 | 32.1 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 1,210 | 1,199 | 99.1\% | 50.9 | 25.1 | D |
| WB | Left Turn | 200 | 189 | 94.7\% | 90.7 | 19.7 | F |
|  | Through | 870 | 854 | 98.2\% | 40.4 | 4.9 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 330 | 317 | 96.2\% | 16.6 | 4.6 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,400 | 1,361 | 97.2\% | 41.9 | 4.9 | D |
| Total |  | 3,560 | 3,522 | 98.9\% | 42.4 | 9.3 | D |


|  | 4 |  | 4 | 9 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{4}$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 310 | 50 | 30 | 980 | 1040 | 40 |  |  |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 310 | 50 | 30 | 980 | 1040 | 40 |  |  |
| Number | 7 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 16 |  |  |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.97 |  |  |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1881 | 1881 | 1881 | 1881 | 1881 | 1900 |  |  |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 313 | 0 | 30 | 990 | 1051 | 40 |  |  |
| Adj No. of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Cap, veh/h | 365 | 326 | 375 | 2304 | 1866 | 71 |  |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.64 | 0.53 | 0.53 |  |  |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1792 | 1599 | 1792 | 3668 | 3601 | 133 |  |  |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 313 | 0 | 30 | 990 | 536 | 555 |  |  |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1792 | 1599 | 1792 | 1787 | 1787 | 1853 |  |  |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 10.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 8.2 | 12.0 | 12.0 |  |  |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 10.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 8.2 | 12.0 | 12.0 |  |  |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.07 |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 365 | 326 | 375 | 2304 | 951 | 986 |  |  |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.86 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.43 | 0.56 | 0.56 |  |  |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 460 | 410 | 525 | 2304 | 951 | 986 |  |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 0.87 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.86 | 0.86 |  |  |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 23.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 5.2 | 9.4 | 9.4 |  |  |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 9.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 2.0 |  |  |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.2 | 6.3 | 6.6 |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 32.4 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 11.5 | 11.4 |  |  |
| LnGrp LOS | C |  | A | A | B | B |  |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 313 |  |  | 1020 | 1091 |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 32.4 |  |  | 5.8 | 11.4 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | A | B |  |  |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ |  | 43.6 |  | 16.4 | 6.8 | 36.8 |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), $s$ |  | * 4.9 |  | * 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.9 |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | * 36 |  | * 15 | 7.8 | 23.7 |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 10.2 |  | 12.1 | 2.4 | 14.0 |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 16.9 |  | 0.2 | 0.0 | 7.9 |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 11.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# PC Attachment 1 

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Amy's Drive Thru
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement
Cumulative Plus Project
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 1
San Clemente Dr/Tamalpais Dr-Redwood Hwy
Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 926 | 1,134 | 122.4\% | 30.1 | 8.9 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 103 | 227 | 220.4\% | 16.9 | 8.0 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,029 | 1,361 | 132.2\% | 27.9 | 8.8 | C |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 602 | 902 | 149.9\% | 25.4 | 2.6 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 803 | 878 | 109.4\% | 3.4 | 1.4 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,405 | 1,781 | 126.7\% | 14.5 | 2.1 | B |
| WB | Left Turn | 95 | 214 | 225.5\% | 58.6 | 34.4 | E |
|  | Through | 413 | 672 | 162.7\% | 16.4 | 9.4 | B |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 508 | 886 | 174.5\% | 26.6 | 15.2 | C |
| Total |  | 2,942 | 4,028 | 136.9\% | 21.6 | 6.2 | C |

Intersection 2 US 101 On-ramp-US 101 Off-ramp/Tamalpais Dr Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 632 | 935 | 147.9\% | 87.2 | 33.0 | F |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 513 | 744 | 145.0\% | 36.9 | 13.8 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 1,145 | 1,679 | 146.6\% | 65.1 | 24.2 | E |
| SB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 960 | 1,179 | 122.8\% | 16.6 | 3.1 | B |
|  | Right Turn | 657 | 803 | 122.2\% | 12.6 | 2.9 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,617 | 1,982 | 122.6\% | 15.0 | 2.8 | B |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 718 | 986 | 137.3\% | 38.7 | 21.5 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 621 | 811 | 130.6\% | 3.3 | 2.4 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,339 | 1,797 | 134.2\% | 22.5 | 12.7 | C |
| Total |  | 4,101 | 5,457 | 133.1\% | 32.6 | 10.3 | C |

# PC Attachment 1 

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Amy's Drive Thru
Average Results from 10 Runs
Cumulative Plus Project
Volume and Delay by Movement
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 3 US 101 SB Off-ramp/Tamalpais Dr
Signal


Intersection $4 \quad$ Town Center Dr/Tamalpais Dr Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | Left Turn | 288 | 458 | 159.1\% | 20.1 | 3.0 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 55 | 88 | 160.2\% | 21.9 | 1.4 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 343 | 546 | 159.3\% | 20.4 | 2.6 | C |
| EB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,013 | 1,298 | 128.1\% | 8.3 | 3.8 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,013 | 1,298 | 128.1\% | 8.3 | 3.8 | A |
| WB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,109 | 1,280 | 115.4\% | 38.3 | 8.6 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 228 | 400 | 175.5\% | 19.3 | 4.6 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,337 | 1,680 | 125.6\% | 34.1 | 7.7 | C |
| Total |  | 2,693 | 3,524 | 130.9\% | 22.7 | 4.4 | C |

# PC Attachment 1 

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Amy's Drive Thru
Cumulative Plus Project
PM Peak Hour

| Intersection 5 |  | Madera Blvd/Tamalpais Dr |  |  |  |  | Signal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 42 | 76 | 181.4\% | 46.5 | 9.0 | D |
|  | Through | 65 | 143 | 220.2\% | 47.3 | 6.0 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 147 | 188 | 127.8\% | 17.1 | 3.7 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 254 | 407 | 160.3\% | 33.8 | 4.2 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 215 | 244 | 113.3\% | 43.9 | 5.3 | D |
|  | Through | 68 | 94 | 138.1\% | 47.7 | 6.0 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 169 | 222 | 131.4\% | 11.8 | 1.6 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 452 | 560 | 123.8\% | 31.7 | 2.7 | C |
| EB | Left Turn | 159 | 252 | 158.2\% | 63.8 | 19.9 | E |
|  | Through | 651 | 867 | 133.1\% | 46.9 | 14.5 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 30 | 88 | 292.3\% | 47.2 | 18.1 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 840 | 1,206 | 143.5\% | 50.6 | 15.3 | D |
| WB | Left Turn | 192 | 186 | 96.7\% | 71.7 | 11.3 | E |
|  | Through | 670 | 855 | 127.6\% | 44.1 | 5.0 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 302 | 322 | 106.5\% | 21.4 | 3.1 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,164 | 1,363 | 117.1\% | 42.2 | 4.1 | D |
| Total |  | 2,710 | 3,535 | 130.4\% | 42.6 | 6.4 | D |


|  | * |  | 4 |  |  | $\pm$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{4}$ | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 355 | 57 | 36 | 980 | 1040 | 40 |  |  |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 355 | 57 | 36 | 980 | 1040 | 40 |  |  |
| Number | 7 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 16 |  |  |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.97 |  |  |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1881 | 1881 | 1881 | 1881 | 1881 | 1900 |  |  |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 359 | 0 | 36 | 990 | 1051 | 40 |  |  |
| Adj No. of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Cap, veh/h | 409 | 365 | 363 | 2216 | 1756 | 67 |  |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.62 | 0.50 | 0.50 |  |  |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1792 | 1599 | 1792 | 3668 | 3601 | 133 |  |  |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 359 | 0 | 36 | 990 | 536 | 555 |  |  |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1792 | 1599 | 1792 | 1787 | 1787 | 1853 |  |  |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 11.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 8.7 | 12.8 | 12.8 |  |  |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 11.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 8.7 | 12.8 | 12.8 |  |  |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.07 |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 409 | 365 | 363 | 2216 | 895 | 928 |  |  |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.88 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.45 | 0.60 | 0.60 |  |  |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 460 | 410 | 502 | 2216 | 895 | 928 |  |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 0.87 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.86 | 0.86 |  |  |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 22.3 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 6.0 | 10.7 | 10.7 |  |  |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 13.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |  |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 7.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 6.9 | 7.1 |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 35.6 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 13.2 | 13.1 |  |  |
| LnGrp LOS | D |  | A | A | B | B |  |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 359 |  |  | 1026 | 1091 |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 35.6 |  |  | 6.7 | 13.2 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | A | B |  |  |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), s |  | 42.1 |  | 17.9 | 7.2 | 34.9 |  |  |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s |  | * 4.9 |  | * 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.9 |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | * 36 |  | * 15 | 7.8 | 23.7 |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 10.7 |  | 13.6 | 2.5 | 14.8 |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 16.6 |  | 0.1 | 0.0 | 7.3 |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 13.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Town of Corte Madera Municipal Code 18.20 .040 requires 1 bicycle parking space for every 400 square feet of floor area

