# FINAL TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM 

Date: July 21, 2022
To: Jack Varozza, City of Roseville
From: John Gard \& Sonia Anthoine, Fehr \& Peers
Subject: Evaluation of Access and On-Site Circulation for Grocery Outlet Retail Center
RS22-4178
This memorandum presents the analysis and conclusions of our access and on-site circulation study for the proposed Grocery Outlet Retail Center to be located south of Pleasant Grove Boulevard and east of Fiddyment Road in Roseville, CA. This memorandum supersedes our draft study dated May 16, 2022. According to the project site plan analyzed in May 2022, the proposed project would consist of the following land uses: ${ }^{1}$

- Grocery Outlet grocery store $-16,000$ square feet
- Fast-food restaurant with drive-through window - 3,200 square feet
- General commercial - 4,600 square feet

The analysis focuses on weekday PM peak hour conditions, which represents the peak 60-minute period of traffic between 4 and 6 PM. Normally, this is the busiest hour of travel on City roadways.

## Project Site Plan

The project location is shown on Figure $\mathbf{1}$ and the project site plan (Grocery Outlet, Morton \& Pitalo, June 2022) is shown on Figure 2. Access to the project site would be provided via two existing driveways on Pleasant Grove Boulevard and one existing driveway on Fiddyment Road. The following turning movements are permitted at each driveway (see Figure 2 for driveway numbering and locations):

- Driveway 1 on Fiddyment Road: Right In/Right Out
- Driveway 2 on Pleasant Grove Boulevard: Right In/Right Out
- Driveway 3 on Pleasant Grove Boulevard: Full Access

Note that there are reciprocal access agreements in place on the subject property, allowing travel between the adjacent CVS drug store directly to the west and the Camino Real Way residential project directly to the east.
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## Existing Conditions

Traffic counts were collected at Driveways 1 and 2 on a weekday in April 2022. Traffic counts were collected at Driveway 3 on a weekday in February 2022. Weather was dry and no unusual traffic conditions were observed during the counts.

Figure 3 shows the existing peak hour traffic volumes, lane configurations, and traffic controls at these driveways. As shown, all three driveways are controlled by stop signs for exiting traffic. At Driveway 3, a north leg also exists, which provides access to the Pleasant Grove Community Church. This north leg will also provide access to the future West Roseville Marketplace, which would occupy the northeast quadrant of the Fiddyment Road/Pleasant Grove Boulevard intersection.

The weekday PM peak hour traffic volumes collected in 2022 were compared against volumes collected in February 2020 (i.e., prior to the COVID-19 pandemic). It was found that the new counts were greater than the 2020 counts despite the ongoing effects of COVID-19 on travel behavior. The increase in traffic is attributable to substantial growth in residences on the west side of the City of Roseville.

As part of the weekday PM peak hour traffic counts, maximum vehicle queues were observed for critical movements in the study area. Maximum observed queues and available storage for these movements are shown in Table 1. The following key findings are derived from this table:

- Outbound traffic at Driveway 1 on Fiddyment Road currently exceeds the 50 feet of available throat depth. This could be attributable to motorists waiting to merge across three lanes of traffic on Fiddyment Road to perform a left or u-turn at Pleasant Grove Boulevard.
- Available turn lane storage at Driveways 2 and 3 on Pleasant Grove Boulevard is adequate to accommodate weekday PM peak hour traffic. ${ }^{2}$


## Project Travel Characteristics

## Trip Generation

Project trip generation estimates were calculated using trip rates from the Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition (Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2021). Table 2 presents the project's trip generation for weekday PM peak hour conditions. The grocery store and fast-food restaurants were assumed to be standard/typical users and not ultra-popular brands such as In-N-Out Burger, Chick-fil-A, or Trader Joe's. The findings of this study would not be applicable should specialized users such as these occupy the site.
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| TABLE 1: <br> MAXIMUM VEHICLE QUEUES IN STUDY AREA - EXISTING CONDITIONS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection/ Driveway | Movement | Available Storage ${ }^{1}$ | Weekday PM Peak Hour ${ }^{2}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Traffic Volume | Maximum Vehicle Queue ${ }^{3}$ |
| Pleasant Grove Blvd/Fiddyment Road | Northbound Left-Turn | 250 feet per lane | 600 | Exceeds available storage ${ }^{4}$ |
|  | Westbound Left-Turn | 250 feet per lane | 459 | Exceeds available storage ${ }^{4}$ |
| Driveway 1 on Fiddyment Road | Westbound Right-Turn | 50 feet | 76 | 125 feet |
| Driveway 2 on Pleasant Grove Blvd | Northbound Right-Turn | 50 feet | 34 | 50 feet |
| Driveway 3 on Pleasant Grove Blvd | Eastbound Left/U-Turn | 150 feet | Left: 3 U-Turn: 15 | 50 feet |
|  | Westbound Left/U-Turn | 200 feet | Left: 35 U-Turn: 7 | 100 feet |
|  | Northbound Left/Through /Right-Turn | 60 feet | Left: 10 <br> Through: 0 <br> Right: 13 | 50 feet |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Based on review of aeria <br> ${ }^{2}$ Based on traffic counts <br> ${ }^{3} 25$ feet assumed per que <br> ${ }^{4}$ It was not possible to adjacent through lane (i.e <br> Bolded text indicates that <br> Source: Fehr \& Peers, 202 | magery. <br> ected on a wee d vehicle unles ermine maximu nclear whether maximum queue | day in February an noted otherwise. number of queu topped vehicle wa exceeds available s | ril 2022. <br> left-turning left or throug ge. | icles due to queued traffic in movement). |

Table 2 shows expected pass-by traffic to each use. A pass-by trip is made by a motorist who enters the site to shop or receive services while en-route to a different primary destination. These trips are already present on the adjacent street, though they do add trips to the project driveways. It is important thattraffic assignments separately consider new and pass-by trips because they have different origins/destinations and travel patterns.

After accounting for internal and pass-by trips, the project would generate approximately 160 new trips during the weekday PM peak hour.
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| TABLE 2: <br> PROPOSED PROJECT TRIP GENERATION - WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | ITE Land Use Code | Quantity | Trip Rates ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Vehicle Trips |  |  |
|  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Grocery Store | 944 | 16 KSF | 4.4 | 4.5 | 8.9 | 71 | 72 | 143 |
| Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive through Window | 934 | 3.2 KSF | 17.2 | 15.9 | 33.1 | 55 | 51 | 106 |
| General Retail | 822 | 4.6 KSF | 3.3 | 3.3 | 6.6 | 15 | 15 | 30 |
| Gross Trips |  |  |  |  |  | 141 | 138 | 279 |
| Internal Trips ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  | -7 | -7 | -14 |
| Pass-By Trips ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  | -52 | -52 | -104 |
| New Vehicle Trips |  |  |  |  |  | 82 | 79 | 161 |
| Notes: <br> ${ }^{1}$ Trip rates from the Trip Generation Manual, 17 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition (Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2021). <br> ${ }^{2}$ Estimated 5\% of project trips expected to be internal. <br> ${ }^{3}$ The following pass-by percentages were applied based on data in the Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition (Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2021): <br> - Grocery Store: 24\% <br> - Fast-Down Restaurant with Drive through Window: 55\% <br> - General Retail: $40 \%$ <br> KSF = thousand square feet. <br> Source: Fehr \& Peers, 2022. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Trip Distribution/Assignment

The distribution of project trips is expected to be proportional to the distribution of residences near the project site. West Roseville (i.e., west of Fiddyment Road) has a general lack of restaurants and grocery stores, though a retail center (anchored by a Raley's) is currently being constructed at the Blue Oaks Boulevard/Fiddyment Road intersection. The closest established retail centers are along Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard at Blue Oaks Boulevard and Pleasant Grove Boulevard. Thus, the proposed retail uses would be the closest site to a large number of new residences situated west of Fiddyment Road.

To further inform the expected trip distribution, the project was added to the City's base year (2020) travel demand model and a select zone traffic assignment was performed.

Table 3 displays the project's estimated trip distribution under near-term conditions ${ }^{3}$. These percentages consider the above trip distribution aspects.
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| TABLE 3: |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| PROPOSED PROJECT TRIP DISTRIBUTION |  |  |
| Trip Distribution | Percentage |  |
| Fiddyment Road north of Pleasant Grove Boulevard | $20 \%$ |  |
| Fiddyment Road south of Pleasant Grove Boulevard | $30 \%$ |  |
| Pleasant Grove Boulevard west of Fiddyment Road | $25 \%$ |  |
| Pleasant Grove Boulevard east of Project Site | $25 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| Source: Fehr \& Peers, 2022. | $100 \%$ |  |

New trips were assigned to project driveways based on the trip distribution percentages in Table 4 and permitted driveway movements. Pass-by trip assignments considered the relative volume of traffic on each public street, and ease of performing pass-by movements. It should be noted that u-turns are permitted on the northbound approach to the Pleasant Grove Boulevard/Fiddyment Road intersection.

Figure 4 displays the weekday PM peak hour traffic volumes at the project driveways under existing plus project conditions. The project would change driveway volumes as follows during the weekday PM peak hour:

- Traffic exiting Driveway 1 would increase from 76 to 104 vehicles during the PM peak hour, a 37\% increase.
- Traffic volumes entering and exiting Driveway 2 would nearly double from 40 to 76 vehicles.
- Traffic in the Driveway 3 westbound left/u-turn lane would nearly double from 42 to 81 vehicles.
- Traffic in the Driveway 3 eastbound Pleasant Grove Boulevard left/u-turn lane would increase from 18 to 39 vehicles, with the vast majority (92\%) being u-turns.
- Traffic exiting Driveway 3 would increase from 23 to 91 vehicles, with $60 \%$ of those trips being left turns.


## Traffic Operations at Driveway 3/Pleasant Grove Blvd. Driveway

The Pleasant Grove Boulevard/Driveway 3 intersection was analyzed using a SimTraffic microsimulation model, which employs procedures from the Highway Capacity Manual, $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition (Transportation Research Board, 2016). SimTraffic is a more appropriate analysis method than a deterministic model (such as Synchro) because it considers the effects of platooned arrivals and provides more accurate estimates of vehicle queuing.
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In addition to including the subject intersection, the SimTraffic model also includes the signalized Pleasant Grove Boulevard/Fiddyment Road and Pleasant Grove Boulevard/Sun City Lane intersections, which are situated 650 feet to the west and 3,000 feet to the east, respectively, from the subject intersection ${ }^{4}$. These two intersections create gaps in traffic due to their signal operations, but also result in large platoons of vehicles during which time it can be difficult to turn out of the project driveway. Per City standards, a peak hour factor of 1.0 was utilized to analyze weekday PM peak hour conditions.

Table 4 shows traffic operations results at the Pleasant Grove Boulevard/Driveway 3 intersection under existing and existing plus project conditions (see Appendix A for technical calculations). This table indicates that motorists exiting Driveway 3 currently experience an average wait time of 31 seconds to turn onto Pleasant Grove Boulevard. Under existing plus project conditions, that delay would increase to 167 seconds per vehicle, which corresponds to LOS F conditions. This increased delay is caused by more traffic exiting the driveway, as well as more turning traffic on Pleasant Grove Boulevard, which has right-of-way priority at the intersection.

During the weekday PM peak hour, the project would add 14 vehicles to the northbound left-turn movement and 19 vehicles to the westbound left-turn movement at the Pleasant Grove Boulevard/Fiddyment Road intersection. This would cause further queue spillbacks out of each pair of dual left-turn lanes. However, traffic levels are anticipated to be reduced for those movements in the near future as additional roadway capacity within the Sierra Vista Specific Plan is constructed.

4 Measured from the centerline of each intersection.
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## TABLE 4:

WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR MAXIMUM VEHICLE QUEUES IN STUDY AREA - EXISTING PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS

| Intersection/ Driveway | Movement | Available Storage ${ }^{1}$ | Existing ${ }^{2}$ |  | Existing Plus Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Traffic <br> Volume | Maximum Vehicle Queue ${ }^{3}$ | Traffic <br> Volume | Maximum Vehicle Queue ${ }^{4}$ |
| Pleasant Grove Blvd/Fiddyment Road | Northbound Left-Turn | 250 feet per lane | 600 | Exceeds available storage ${ }^{5}$ | 614 | Exceeds available storage ${ }^{5}$ |
|  | Westbound Left-Turn | 250 feet per lane | 459 | Exceeds available storage ${ }^{5}$ | 478 | Exceeds available storage ${ }^{5}$ |
| Driveway 1 on Fiddyment Road | Westbound Right-Turn | 50 feet | 76 | 125 feet | 104 | 150 feet |
| Driveway 2 on Pleasant Grove Blvd | Northbound Right-Turn | 50 feet | 34 | 50 feet | 69 | 75 feet |
| Driveway 3 on Pleasant Grove Blvd | Eastbound Left/U-Turn | 150 feet | Left: 3 <br> U-Turn: 15 | 50 feet | Left: 3 <br> U-Turn: 36 | 75 feet |
|  | Westbound Left/U-Turn | 200 feet | Left: 36 <br> U-Turn: 6 | 100 feet | Left: 75 <br> U-Turn: 6 | 150 feet |
|  | Northbound Left/Through/ Right-Turn | 60 feet | Left: 10 <br> Through: 0 <br> Right: 13 | 50 feet | Left: 54 <br> Through: 0 <br> Right: 37 | 275 feet |
| Notes: <br> ${ }^{1}$ Based on review of a <br> ${ }^{2}$ Based on traffic coun <br> ${ }^{3} 25$ feet assumed per <br> ${ }^{4}$ Calculated as project queue. <br> ${ }^{5}$ It was not possible adjacent through lane <br> Bolded text indicate m <br> Source: Fehr \& Peers, | imagery. collected on a w eued vehicle. lated increase in <br> determine maxi e., unclear wheth ximum queue ex 2. | ekday in Febr maximum que um number r stopped veh eeds available | ary and April e from SimT queued left cle was a left torage. | 022. <br> fic added to <br> urning vehic through m | isting obser <br> due to qu ement). | d maximum <br> traffic in |
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Based on this analysis, the following is recommended:

- Outbound movements at Driveway 3 should be restricted to right-turns by constructing a gullwing in the driveway median.

This recommendation would also prohibit left/through movements from the opposing driveway. Figure 5 shows the existing plus project PM peak hour volumes with this modification in place. The above recommendation would shift 54 left-turns exiting Driveway 3 to either use Driveway 1 or Driveway 2.

Conditions were reanalyzed using SimTraffic with this modification in place. The northbound approach was found to improve conditions to LOS A with an average delay of 10 seconds per vehicle. Refer to Table 5 for queuing results (see Appendix B for technical calculations). Table 5 indicates that the recommended modification at Driveway 3 would result in incrementally greater maximum queues at Driveways 1 and 2. Measures for addressing excess queuing at these driveways are presented in the next section.

TABLE 5:
WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR MAXIMUM VEHICLE QUEUES IN STUDY AREA - EXISTING PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS WITH DRIVEWAY 3 MODIFICATION

| Driveway | Movement | Available Storage ${ }^{1}$ | Existing ${ }^{2}$ |  | Existing Plus Project |  | Existing Plus Project with Modifications ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Traffic Volume | Max Queue ${ }^{4}$ | Traffic <br> Volume | Max <br> Queue ${ }^{4}$ | Traffic <br> Volume | Max Queue ${ }^{4}$ |
| Driveway 1 | Westbound Right-Turn | 50 feet | 76 | $125$ <br> feet | 104 | 150 feet | 122 | 200 feet |
| Driveway 2 | Northbound Right-Turn | 50 feet | 34 | 50 feet | 69 | 75 feet | 105 | 100 feet |
| Driveway 3 | Eastbound Left/U-Turn | 150 feet | Left: 3 U-Turn: 15 | 50 feet | Left: 3 <br> U-Turn: 36 | 75 feet | Left: 3 U-Turn: 72 | 100 feet |
|  | Northbound ${ }^{3}$ | 60 feet | Left: 10 Right: 13 | 50 feet | Left: 54 <br> Right: 37 | 275 feet | Right: 37 | 65 feet |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Based on revi <br> ${ }^{2}$ Based on tr <br> ${ }^{3}$ Modificatio movements ${ }^{4} 25$ feet ass Bolded text Source: Fehr | iew of aerial im ffic counts coll s would install right-turns on med per queue dicate maximu \& Peers, 2022. | gery. ed on a we gullwing in (while main vehicle (unl queue exc | kday in Feb leasant Grov aining majo ss otherwise eds availabl | uary and Bouleva street lef noted). storage. | April 2022. rd median at t-turn ingres | Driveway | restricting | ide-street |
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Additional analysis and/or discussions are needed regarding the long-term feasibility of maintaining the eastbound left/u-turn lane at Driveway 3. This is being driven by the projected increase in traffic on westbound Pleasant Grove Boulevard and expected worsening of operations at the Fiddyment Road/Pleasant Grove Boulevard intersection. According to the most recent 2035 forecasts and operations analysis from the Transportation Impact Study for the City of Roseville Housing Element Update (Fehr \& Peers, May 2021), this intersection is expected to operate at LOS F during the weekday AM peak hour and LOS E during the weekday PM peak hour. The westbound approach is expected to experience a $78 \%$ increase in PM peak hour traffic between now and 2035. This could potentially cause westbound traffic to spill back beyond Driveway 3, making eastbound left-turns difficult to perform.

## Review of Project Access and On-Site Circulation

Since all three project driveways already exist and are currently in use, our review of project access primarily relates to whether the addition of project trips would require alterations to the driveway designs. This section also includes a detailed review of internal circulation. Refer to Figure 6 for recommendations.

## 1. Need for Deceleration Lanes at Project Driveways

The following standard contained in the City of Roseville Design and Construction Standards (2021) are applicable to the review of project driveways.

- Right-turn deceleration lanes shall be provided at driveways when:
- the driveway is located on an arterial,
- the right-turn ingress volume is expected to exceed 50 vehicles per hour,
- there is ample room to fit a deceleration lane, and
- the travel speed of the roadway equals or exceeds 45 mph .

A right-turn curb flare shall be provided when these conditions are met but the right-turn volume is between 10 and 50 vehicles per hour. There may be cases where some of the criteria are met, but City staff may still require a deceleration lane in the interest of safety.

Evaluation: Driveway 1 currently serves 40 inbound right-turns during the PM peak hour. Neither a deceleration lane or taper is present at this driveway. Streetlights, power poles, and utility vaults are situated immediately to the south of this driveway. Driveway 2 currently serves 40 inbound right-turns during the PM peak hour and has a 200-foot right-turn deceleration lane that also serves as a bus stop/turnout. Driveway 3 currently serves 5 inbound right-turns during the PM peak hour and has a 150-foot right-turn deceleration taper.

# Attachment 1 

## Fehr俍eers

Technical Memorandum: Evaluation of Access and Circulation for Grocery Outlet Retail Center
July 21, 2022
Page 10
Conclusion \#1: No changes in deceleration lanes/tapers are recommended at any of the project driveways.

Technical Support:

- A deceleration taper would have already been constructed at Driveway 1 for the CVS Pharmacy if it had been deemed feasible.
- A right-turn deceleration lane is already present at Driveway 2.
- With the addition of project trips, the right-turn deceleration taper (versus a full deceleration lane) is sufficient at Driveway 3.


## 2. Maximum queue lengths for outbound movements at driveways

Table 5 indicated the maximum expected outbound vehicle queues at Driveways 1 and 2 would exceed the available storage under existing plus project conditions. The maximum throat depth at Driveway 3 would be within 5 feet of what is provided. Hence, no changes in design at that driveway are recommended. The following is recommended at Driveways 1 and 2 :

Recommendation \#1: The Grocery Outlet Retail Center project applicant shall take the following steps:

1. Retain a professional transportation consultant to monitor queuing at Driveway 1 after the proposed project is constructed. ${ }^{5}$
2. If outbound vehicle queues cause inbound traffic to spill onto Fiddyment Road, then feasible physical improvements (such as those shown on Figure 6) should be implemented. ${ }^{6}$

To address vehicular queuing at Driveway 2, the project site plan was recently modified to close the drive aisle opening to the Grocery Outlet parking lot that was proposed to be situated directly opposite the CVS drive aisle. This will improve the queuing condition for outbound traffic, by reducing the number of turning movements. The following is recommended:

Recommendation \#2: As part of the same monitoring effort for Driveway 1, vehicle queuing at Driveway 2 should also be monitored. If outbound queued traffic causes inbound traffic to back onto Pleasant Grove Boulevard, then the driveway should be modified either by posting a sign on the eastbound CVS drive aisle approach stating "Do Not Block Intersection" or by adding "Do Not Block Intersection" pavement markings.
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## 3. Driveway 3 Improvements along Pleasant Grove Boulevard

Table 5 indicates that a maximum queue of 100 feet ( 4 vehicles) is expected under existing plus project conditions in the eastbound left/u-turn lane with Driveway 3 assuming a gullwing is constructed. This left-turn lane would also be used by the West Roseville Marketplace project. According to the final access study for that project (Final Technical Memorandum for Evaluation of Access and Circulation for West Roseville Marketplace, Fehr \& Peers, April 2022), that project would increase the maximum queue in the turn lane by 3 vehicles. Thus, with both projects constructed, the maximum queue would be 175 feet ( 7 vehicles), which exceeds the available storage of 150 feet. The following is recommended:

Recommendation \#3: The Grocery Outlet Retail Center project applicant shall coordinate with the West Roseville Marketplace applicant to identify proportionate funding and responsibility of construction of the following improvement:

- Lengthen the eastbound left-turn lane at Driveway 3 from 150 feet to at least 175 feet.

Lengthening the turn lane to 175 feet could be accomplished by reducing the transition taper (to the westbound dual left-turn lane approaching Fiddyment Road) from 120 to 95 feet. Coordination with City of Roseville staff is recommended to discuss additional lengthening beyond 175 feet (given that it would require reducing the amount of storage for the westbound left-turn lane from Pleasant Grove Boulevard onto Fiddyment Road).

The Final Technical Memorandum for Evaluation of Access and Circulation for West Roseville Marketplace (2022) recommended a continuous acceleration/deceleration lane be added at its project driveways along Pleasant Grove Boulevard. This necessitates relocation of curb, gutter, and sidewalk. As part of those improvements, it would be desirable if the westbound Pleasant Grove Boulevard travel lanes could be relocated 1 to 2 feet to the north of their current location. This would enable the median to be slightly wider, which is desirable in order to provide "a positive offset"7 for the face-to-face leftturns at Driveway 3. A 3-foot raised median island is already present adjacent to the eastbound leftturn lane to further enable this construction.
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## 4. Review of Internal Circulation

The project site plan shows a fast-food restaurant with a drive-through lane situated in the northeast corner of the project site. A meeting was held between the project applicant, engineer, and architect, Fehr \& Peers, and City of Roseville staff in June 2022 to discuss concerns regarding the fast-food restaurant's drive-through lane and vehicle queues expected at Driveway 3. That meeting led to a modification in the fast-food restaurant layout (as shown in Figure 2), which provides several meaningful improvements over the prior site plan including:

1. The length of the drive-through lane has been significantly extended to reduce the likelihood of vehicles spilling out of it, which could block other traffic in the center.
2. The new layout has reduced the width of the drive aisle south of the restaurant (connecting to Camino Real Way) from an excessively wide 55 feet to a typical 24 feet.
3. The main internal intersection southwest of the restaurant has been reduced in size and is now a more traditional squared-up design.
4. Although the drive-through lane exit remains in its original location (about 50 feet south of Pleasant Grove Boulevard), the site plan now shows a raised median at Driveway 3, which would force motorists exiting the drive-through lane to turn right (onto Pleasant Grove Boulevard). The raised median will help with the flow of traffic exiting the drive-through lane (as vehicles would not be able to perform the more time-consuming left-turn to remain within the center).

The site plan has also been modified to include additional on-site sidewalks and crosswalks per the prior study recommendations.

Since the design review permit does not include the fast-food restaurant, this study does not include any specific recommendations regarding its design shown on the site plan. The raised median shown in the site plan at Driveway 3 would not be necessary to accommodate traffic associated with buildout of the remainder of the retail center. The need for that median should be evaluated in conjunction with the review of the proposed use for that parcel.

We also recommend the project's architect or engineer evaluate the turning requirements of delivery trucks that would serve Grocery Outlet.
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| 1. Dwy 1/Fiddyment Rd |  | 2. Dwy 2/Pleasant Grove Blvd |  | 3. Dwy 3/Pleasant Grove Blvd |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\underset{I}{2}} \\ \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | $R-122$ |  | $\longleftarrow 1,275$ |  |  |
|  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ <br> 앙 <br> $\rightarrow$ | ${ }_{76}^{958} \longrightarrow$ | $\stackrel{\nearrow}{\sim}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72 \\ 33_{1} \\ 97 \\ 37 \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{\hat{m}}$ |

\# Study Intersection
$\rightarrow$ Vehicle Turning Movement
xx PM Peak Hour Volume

- Stop Sign

Figure 5
PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations Existing Plus Project with Access Modifications Conditions
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## Appendix A - Existing and Existing Plus Project Technical

 CalculationsAttachment 1

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Roseville Grocery Outlet Center
Existing
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 1
Fiddyment Rd/Project Driveway 1
Side-street Stop

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,694 | 1,691 | 99.8\% | 2.5 | 0.9 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 40 | 41 | 103.0\% | 1.4 | 0.7 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,734 | 1,733 | 99.9\% | 2.5 | 0.9 | A |
| SB | Left Turn <br> Through Right Turn | 1,454 | 1,426 | 98.1\% | 1.5 | 0.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,454 | 1,426 | 98.1\% | 1.5 | 0.1 | A |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Through Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through Right Turn | 76 | 76 | 99.9\% | 23.1 | 15.1 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 76 | 76 | 99.9\% | 23.1 | 15.1 | C |
| Total |  | 3,264 | 3,235 | 99.1\% | 2.6 | 0.8 | A |

Intersection 2
Project Driveway 2/Pleasant Grove Blvd
Side-street Stop

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Through Right Turn | 34 | 34 | 101.2\% | 6.8 | 2.6 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 34 | 34 | 101.2\% | 6.8 | 2.6 | A |
| SB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Through Right Turn | $\begin{gathered} 941 \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 931 \\ 39 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98.9 \% \\ & 98.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \\ & 2.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Subtotal | 981 | 970 | 98.9\% | 3.1 | 0.4 | A |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 1,246 | 1,226 | 98.4\% | 1.7 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,246 | 1,226 | 98.4\% | 1.7 | 0.3 | A |
| Total |  | 2,261 | 2,231 | 98.7\% | 2.4 | 0.2 | A |

# Attachment 1 

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Intersection 3
Project Driveway 3/Pleasant Grove Blvd
Roseville Grocery Outlet Center
Existing
PM Peak Hour

Side-street Stop

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 10 | 10 | 101.0\% | 48.3 | 36.6 | E |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 13 | 14 | 110.8\% | 17.0 | 16.4 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 23 | 25 | 106.5\% | 30.9 | 25.5 | D |
| SB | Left Turn | 2 | 1 | 70.0\% | 9.5 | 22.2 | A |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 4 | 4 | 90.0\% | 4.1 | 5.7 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 6 | 5 | 83.3\% | 10.0 | 12.8 | A |
| EB | Left Turn | 18 | 19 | 106.1\% | 7.6 | 4.4 | A |
|  | Through | 952 | 941 | 98.8\% | 1.4 | 0.1 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 5 | 5 | 96.0\% | 1.8 | 1.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 975 | 965 | 98.9\% | 1.5 | 0.1 | A |
| WB | Left Turn | 42 | 40 | 96.2\% | 15.6 | 4.4 | C |
|  | Through | 1,217 | 1,195 | 98.2\% | 3.3 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,259 | 1,235 | 98.1\% | 3.7 | 0.3 | A |
| Total |  | 2,263 | 2,229 | 98.5\% | 3.2 | 0.6 | A |


| Intersection 4 |  | Fiddyment Rd/Pleasant Grove Blvd |  |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  |  |  |  |
| Direction |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 600 | 598 | 99.7\% | 60.4 | 8.9 | E |
|  | Through | 748 | 756 | 101.0\% | 30.5 | 2.0 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 422 | 414 | 98.2\% | 14.6 | 1.5 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,770 | 1,768 | 99.9\% | 36.8 | 3.0 | D |
| SB | Left Turn | 142 | 142 | 100.1\% | 56.8 | 6.2 | E |
|  | Through | 674 | 669 | 99.2\% | 41.7 | 3.2 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 70 | 66 | 94.7\% | 14.2 | 3.8 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 886 | 877 | 99.0\% | 42.0 | 2.3 | D |
| EB | Left Turn | 64 | 60 | 94.4\% | 58.5 | 9.5 | E |
|  | Through | 417 | 414 | 99.3\% | 50.7 | 5.1 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 321 | 319 | 99.2\% | 15.6 | 2.7 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 802 | 793 | 98.9\% | 37.0 | 3.6 | D |
| WB | Left Turn | 459 | 437 | 95.3\% | 54.9 | 4.4 | D |
|  | Through | 646 | 639 | 99.0\% | 40.8 | 3.1 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 141 | 146 | 103.8\% | 8.6 | 1.0 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,246 | 1,223 | 98.1\% | 42.3 | 2.8 | D |
| Total |  | 4,704 | 4,661 | 99.1\% | 39.3 | 1.8 | D |

## Attachment 1

Intersection: 1: Fiddyment Rd \& Project Drwy 1

| Movement | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | T | T | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 133 | 122 | 222 | 164 | 34 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 43 | 33 | 25 | 10 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 94 | 109 | 145 | 84 | 16 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 295 |  | 1308 | 1308 | 1308 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 6 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 20 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Project Drwy 2 \& Pleasant Grove Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | TR | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 4 | 8 | 56 | 80 | 64 | 60 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 24 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 4 | 5 | 23 | 37 | 34 | 54 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 287 | 287 |  | 190 | 190 | 279 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |

Intersection: 3: Project Drwy 3 \& Pleasant Grove Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | UL | T | TR | UL | LTR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 41 | 3 | 7 | 59 | 74 | 19 | 24 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 11 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 23 | 1 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 33 | 3 | 3 | 47 | 60 | 11 | 15 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 190 | 190 |  | 553 |  | 1028 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 200 |  | 150 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Attachment 1

Intersection: 4: Fiddyment Rd \& Pleasant Grove Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | R | UL | L | T | T | R | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 56 | 112 | 284 | 259 | 198 | 278 | 287 | 292 | 295 | 80 | 284 | 306 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 47 | 178 | 140 | 87 | 169 | 183 | 182 | 199 | 30 | 234 | 252 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 36 | 94 | 254 | 232 | 153 | 248 | 261 | 268 | 286 | 61 | 311 | 331 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1049 | 1049 |  |  | 287 | 287 | 287 | 287 | 284 | 284 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 7 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | 3 | 20 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 245 | 245 |  |  | 245 | 255 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 4: Fiddyment Rd \& Pleasant Grove Blvd

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | R | UL | L | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 259 | 280 | 240 | 271 | 128 | 136 | 232 | 235 | 217 | 86 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 162 | 172 | 127 | 123 | 60 | 68 | 148 | 153 | 136 | 28 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 237 | 248 | 225 | 224 | 113 | 119 | 212 | 218 | 205 | 65 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 284 | 284 | 284 | 284 |  |  | 497 | 497 | 497 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 230 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  | 230 | 230 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 10: Bend

| Movement | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 92 | 265 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 15 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 67 | 157 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 497 | 497 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty: 58 |  |  |

Attachment 1

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Roseville Grocery Outlet
Existing Plus Project
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 1
Fiddyment Rd/Project Driveway 1
Side-street Stop

| Direction | Movement | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Demand } \\ \text { Volume (vph) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn Through | 1,690 | 1,688 | 99.9\% | 3.5 | 1.9 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 69 | 74 | 106.7\% | 1.9 | 1.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,759 | 1,762 | 100.2\% | 3.4 | 1.8 | A |
| SB | Left Turn <br> Through Right Turn | 1,474 | 1,463 | 99.3\% | 1.5 | 0.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,474 | 1,463 | 99.3\% | 1.5 | 0.1 | A |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 104 | 108 | 103.8\% | 28.6 | 18.8 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 104 | 108 | 103.8\% | 28.6 | 18.8 | D |
| Total |  | 3,337 | 3,333 | 99.9\% | 3.4 | 1.6 | A |

Intersection 2
Project Driveway 2/Pleasant Grove Blvd
Side-street Stop

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 69 | 72 | 103.8\% | 6.4 | 1.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 69 | 72 | 103.8\% | 6.4 | 1.5 | A |
| SB | Left Turn Through Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | $\begin{gathered} 958 \\ 76 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 956 \\ 80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99.8 \% \\ 105.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \\ & 2.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \\ & 0.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Subtotal | 1,034 | 1,036 | 100.2\% | 3.4 | 0.3 | A |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 1,293 | 1,286 | 99.5\% | 2.0 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,293 | 1,286 | 99.5\% | 2.0 | 0.3 | A |
| Total |  | 2,396 | 2,394 | 99.9\% | 2.8 | 0.2 | A |

# Attachment 1 

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Roseville Grocery Outlet
Existing Plus Project
PM Peak Hour

Intersection 3
Project Driveway 3/Pleasant Grove Blvd
Side-street Stop

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 54 | 55 | 101.1\% | 166.6 | 82.3 | F |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 37 | 33 | 89.7\% | 166.9 | 86.0 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 91 | 88 | 96.5\% | 166.7 | 81.9 | F |
| SB | Left Turn | 2 | 1 | 65.0\% | 22.1 | 37.6 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 4 | 5 | 115.0\% | 4.2 | 5.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 6 | 6 | 98.3\% | 18.9 | 24.8 | C |
| EB | Left Turn | 39 | 39 | 100.3\% | 8.8 | 2.6 | A |
|  | Through | 951 | 952 | 100.1\% | 1.6 | 0.1 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 37 | 39 | 104.9\% | 2.6 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,027 | 1,030 | 100.3\% | 1.8 | 0.1 | A |
| WB | Left Turn | 81 | 80 | 98.6\% | 16.1 | 4.9 | C |
|  | Through | 1,199 | 1,193 | 99.5\% | 3.5 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,280 | 1,273 | 99.4\% | 4.2 | 0.7 | A |
| Total |  | 2,404 | 2,397 | 99.7\% | 9.7 | 3.4 | A |


| Intersection 4 |  | Fiddyment Rd/Pleasant Grove Blvd |  |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  |  |  |  |
| Direction |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 614 | 602 | 98.0\% | 66.0 | 10.7 | E |
|  | Through | 753 | 766 | 101.7\% | 33.7 | 5.7 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 427 | 426 | 99.7\% | 17.3 | 5.1 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,794 | 1,793 | 100.0\% | 40.8 | 6.4 | D |
| SB | Left Turn | 164 | 162 | 98.8\% | 59.9 | 7.6 | E |
|  | Through | 669 | 674 | 100.8\% | 40.2 | 3.6 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 70 | 67 | 95.3\% | 14.0 | 5.2 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 903 | 903 | 100.0\% | 41.7 | 3.0 | D |
| EB | Left Turn | 64 | 65 | 101.3\% | 69.8 | 10.9 | E |
|  | Through | 443 | 447 | 101.0\% | 54.4 | 6.5 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 316 | 305 | 96.6\% | 15.3 | 4.7 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 823 | 817 | 99.3\% | 41.2 | 4.6 | D |
| WB | Left Turn | 478 | 475 | 99.4\% | 59.0 | 3.7 | E |
|  | Through | 663 | 656 | 98.9\% | 43.7 | 3.2 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 152 | 155 | 101.6\% | 8.8 | 1.0 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,293 | 1,285 | 99.4\% | 44.8 | 2.7 | D |
| Total |  | 4,813 | 4,799 | 99.7\% | 42.1 | 2.7 | D |

## Attachment 1

Intersection: 1: Fiddyment Rd \& Project Drwy 1

| Movement | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | T | T | T | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 164 | 37 | 124 | 318 | 270 | 73 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 64 | 2 | 48 | 54 | 23 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 142 | 17 | 137 | 231 | 146 | 28 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 295 |  |  | 1308 | 1308 | 1308 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 5 | 5 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 10 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 33 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Project Drwy 2 \& Pleasant Grove Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | TR | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 25 | 11 | 56 | 96 | 104 | 83 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 37 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 15 | 6 | 26 | 35 | 38 | 65 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 287 | 287 |  | 190 | 190 | 279 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |

Intersection: 3: Project Drwy 3 \& Pleasant Grove Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | UL | T | TR | UL | T | T | LTR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 61 | 6 | 6 | 95 | 5 | 11 | 304 | 24 | 24 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 21 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 1 | 145 | 2 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 49 | 4 | 4 | 70 | 5 | 7 | 293 | 12 | 18 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 190 | 190 |  | 3022 | 3022 | 553 | 1028 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150 |
| Storage Bay Dist ( ft$)$ | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Attachment 1

Intersection: 4: Fiddyment Rd \& Pleasant Grove Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | R | UL | L | T | T | R | UL | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 62 | 134 | 300 | 270 | 220 | 281 | 292 | 302 | 295 | 74 | 289 | 307 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 11 | 48 | 196 | 165 | 84 | 185 | 200 | 194 | 207 | 30 | 241 | 262 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 37 | 101 | 279 | 245 | 160 | 264 | 277 | 284 | 291 | 58 | 320 | 339 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1049 | 1049 |  |  | 287 | 287 | 287 | 287 | 284 | 284 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 11 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 7 | 34 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 245 | 245 |  |  | 245 | 255 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 4: Fiddyment Rd \& Pleasant Grove Blvd

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | R | UL | L | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 271 | 282 | 251 | 283 | 138 | 145 | 238 | 250 | 238 | 82 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 168 | 177 | 133 | 134 | 68 | 81 | 154 | 156 | 141 | 26 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 245 | 257 | 234 | 238 | 123 | 133 | 219 | 221 | 211 | 60 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 284 | 284 | 284 | 284 |  |  | 497 | 497 | 497 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 230 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  | 230 | 230 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 10: Bend

| Movement | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 239 | 300 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 13 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 111 | 143 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 497 | 497 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty: 100 |  |  |

## Attachment 1

## Appendix B - Existing Plus Project with Modifications <br> Technical Calculations

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Intersection 1
Fiddyment Rd/Project Driveway 1
Roseville Grocery Outlet
Existing Plus Project with Mitigation
PM Peak Hour

Side-street Stop

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through | 1,690 | 1,687 | 99.8\% | 5.4 | 4.5 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 69 | 63 | 91.6\% | 1.8 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,759 | 1,750 | 99.5\% | 5.2 | 4.4 | A |
| SB | Left Turn <br> Through Right Turn | 1,474 | 1,470 | 99.7\% | 1.5 | 0.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,474 | 1,470 | 99.7\% | 1.5 | 0.1 | A |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 122 | 115 | 94.1\% | 60.4 | 59.0 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 122 | 115 | 94.1\% | 60.4 | 59.0 | F |
| Total |  | 3,355 | 3,335 | 99.4\% | 5.2 | 3.5 | A |

Intersection 2
Project Driveway 2/Pleasant Grove Blvd
Side-street Stop

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn Through Right Turn | 105 | 105 | 100.4\% | 6.7 | 1.7 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 105 | 105 | 100.4\% | 6.7 | 1.7 | A |
| SB | Left Turn Through Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | $\begin{gathered} 958 \\ 76 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 954 \\ 73 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99.6 \% \\ & 96.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & 1.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \\ & 0.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Subtotal | 1,034 | 1,027 | 99.4\% | 3.2 | 0.3 | A |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through Right Turn | 1,275 | 1,260 | 98.8\% | 2.1 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,275 | 1,260 | 98.8\% | 2.1 | 0.5 | A |
| Total |  | 2,414 | 2,393 | 99.1\% | 2.8 | 0.3 | A |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Intersection 3

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 37 | 37 | 98.9\% | 9.7 | 2.1 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 37 | 37 | 98.9\% | 9.7 | 2.1 | A |
| SB | Left Turn | 2 | 2 | 100.0\% | 15.2 | 21.1 | C |
|  | Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right Turn | 4 | 4 | 107.5\% | 18.3 | 33.3 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 6 | 6 | 105.0\% | 28.3 | 33.6 | D |
| EB | Left Turn | 75 | 74 | 98.3\% | 13.0 | 6.7 | B |
|  | Through | 951 | 948 | 99.6\% | 1.5 | 0.0 | A |
|  | Right Turn | 37 | 38 | 103.8\% | 2.5 | 0.3 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,063 | 1,060 | 99.7\% | 2.3 | 0.6 | A |
| WB | Left Turn | 81 | 78 | 96.2\% | 15.9 | 3.0 | C |
|  | Through | 1,199 | 1,183 | 98.7\% | 3.7 | 0.5 | A |
|  | Right Turn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,280 | 1,261 | 98.5\% | 4.5 | 0.5 | A |
| Total |  | 2,386 | 2,364 | 99.1\% | 3.7 | 0.6 | A |


| Intersection 4 |  | Fiddyment Rd/Pleasant Grove Blvd |  |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  |  |  |  |
| Direction |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 628 | 622 | 99.0\% | 67.9 | 16.7 | E |
|  | Through | 757 | 750 | 99.0\% | 35.2 | 4.8 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 427 | 429 | 100.5\% | 20.1 | 7.4 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,812 | 1,800 | 99.4\% | 42.9 | 9.3 | D |
| SB | Left Turn | 164 | 160 | 97.3\% | 61.8 | 7.6 | E |
|  | Through | 669 | 670 | 100.2\% | 40.4 | 4.2 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 70 | 68 | 97.6\% | 16.2 | 5.0 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 903 | 898 | 99.5\% | 42.4 | 3.8 | D |
| EB | Left Turn | 64 | 63 | 98.6\% | 60.6 | 15.3 | E |
|  | Through | 443 | 437 | 98.7\% | 52.7 | 5.0 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 316 | 316 | 99.9\% | 14.8 | 3.8 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 823 | 816 | 99.2\% | 38.5 | 4.0 | D |
| WB | Left Turn | 471 | 470 | 99.8\% | 55.4 | 5.4 | E |
|  | Through | 656 | 646 | 98.4\% | 43.3 | 3.7 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 148 | 144 | 97.5\% | 8.8 | 1.0 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,275 | 1,260 | 98.8\% | 44.0 | 3.5 | D |
| Total |  | 4,813 | 4,775 | 99.2\% | 42.4 | 4.6 | D |

Intersection: 1: Fiddyment Rd \& Project Drwy 1

| Movement | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | T | T | T | TR | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 217 | 124 | 415 | 307 | 42 | 5 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 83 | 57 | 81 | 47 | 2 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 206 | 148 | 321 | 235 | 23 | 5 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 519 |  | 1309 | 1309 | 1309 | 283 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 13 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 45 | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Project Drwy 2 \& Pleasant Grove Blvd

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 17 | 50 | 91 | 77 | 95 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 45 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 10 | 27 | 39 | 41 | 79 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 287 |  | 190 | 190 | 279 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |

Intersection: 3: Project Drwy 3 \& Pleasant Grove Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | UL | T | TR | UL | T | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 90 | 25 | 12 | 84 | 5 | 9 | 65 | 24 | 29 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 34 | 1 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 70 | 17 | 7 | 63 | 5 | 7 | 58 | 13 | 17 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 190 | 190 |  | 3022 | 3022 | 553 | 1028 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist ( ft$)$ | 150 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Attachment 1 

Intersection: 4: Fiddyment Rd \& Pleasant Grove Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | R | UL | L | T | T | R | UL | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 51 | 122 | 289 | 253 | 193 | 277 | 296 | 289 | 303 | 70 | 289 | 306 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 10 | 49 | 190 | 154 | 85 | 180 | 197 | 194 | 208 | 29 | 247 | 267 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 36 | 99 | 266 | 239 | 156 | 262 | 277 | 283 | 299 | 56 | 315 | 336 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1049 | 1049 |  |  | 287 | 287 | 287 | 287 | 283 | 283 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 14 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 |  | 7 | 43 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 245 | 245 |  |  | 245 | 255 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 4: Fiddyment Rd \& Pleasant Grove Blvd

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | R | UL | L | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 267 | 275 | 225 | 278 | 140 | 154 | 237 | 241 | 235 | 96 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 160 | 173 | 130 | 134 | 68 | 80 | 153 | 157 | 140 | 28 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 237 | 248 | 226 | 238 | 121 | 138 | 222 | 221 | 214 | 68 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 283 | 283 | 283 | 283 |  |  | 497 | 497 | 497 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  | 230 | 230 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 10: Bend

| Movement | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 204 | 340 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 10 | 21 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 124 | 189 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 497 | 497 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty: 120 |  |  |

## Attachment 1




[^0]:    1 Note that the latest site plan (Grocery Outlet, Morton \& Pitalo, June 2022) shows a 2,600 square-foot fastfood restaurant. It also notes that this restaurant is not part of the Design Review permit. Thus, a supplemental analysis of its layout and circulation will be required once an application for that pad is submitted.

[^1]:    2 On Sunday mornings, Pleasant Grove Community Church operates in-person Sunday services. After services conclude at about 11 AM, congestion and queuing occur at Driveway 3 for about 15 minutes.

[^2]:    3 Near-term is used in this context because the trip distribution would likely change under a cumulative condition given the amount of planned retail in the adjacent Sierra Vista Specific Plan to the west.

[^3]:    5 Monitoring would consist of measuring inbound and outbound traffic levels and queuing on three weekdays from 4 to 6 PM.
    $6 \quad$ Feasible improvements may include (but are not limited to) minor driveway widening on the south side just east of Fiddyment Road to enable construction of a short inbound left-turn pocket into the CVS Pharmacy drive aisles, or construction of a raised median along the driveway throat. Note that the project applicant also owns the adjacent property to the west, thus making these improvements feasible from a property ownership perspective.

[^4]:    7 This refers to the placement of left-turn pockets such that simultaneously present motorists in each turn pocket would be able to see around each other and observe oncoming traffic. See Appendix B for illustration of this concept.

