# **PLACER-SACRAMENTO GATEWAY PLAN**

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

**FINAL APRIL 2020** 





Transportation Planning Agency

**Placer County** 



PLACER-SACRAMENTO GATEWAY PLAN

The Placer-Sacramento Gateway Plan (Gateway Plan) was developed as a comprehensive multimodal corridor plan to qualify for funding through the Solutions for Congested Corridors Program. The Gateway Plan aims to address the existing corridor challenges to reduce congestion and increase travel choices.

The Gateway Plan is sponsored by Caltrans District 3, the Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority (CCJPA), the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA), and the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG).

### The Gateway Corridor

The Gateway Plan covers the study area and facilities shown in Figure ES-1. As a multimodal plan, the Gateway Plan includes several transportation system components, referred to as the Gateway Corridor:

- Interstate 80 (I-80)
- State Route 51 (SR 51/Business 80)
- State Route 65 (SR 65/Highway 65)
- US Route 50 (US 50/Highway 50)
- Capitol Corridor intercity passenger rail service
- Sacramento Regional Transit (SacRT) light rail passenger rail service and fixed route bus service
- Placer County Transit, City of Roseville, and City of Auburn commuter and local bus service
- Regional multi-use trails
- Local roadways paralleling state highways

The Gateway Corridor is one of several major transportation corridors within the Sacramento region and fulfills a critical role in the local, regional, and statewide transportation systems.

### **Corridor Characteristics**

- The corridor is the primary link between Sacramento and Placer County activity centers.
- The corridor is the backbone of the Northern California freight industry.
- The corridor is the Northern California gateway to Sierra Nevada and Lake Tahoe recreational and tourism activities.
- The corridor carries a large and growing amount of traffic.
- Corridor motorists experience increasing delays and unreliable travel times.

# The corridor carries over one million empty seats on a daily basis (see Figure ES-3).

- Existing corridor transit options serve a narrow travel market.
- Corridor travel options are limited (see Figure ES-2).
- Corridor active transportation networks are discontinuous.



Mobility - particularly the lack of travel options - is the top transportation issue facing the Gateway Corridor. Traffic congestion is a primary symptom of limited mobility, costing the region time & money and diminishing the quality of life.

**The Gateway Plan was** 

partnership between

planning entities.

built on an unprecedented

local, regional, and State

# The Gateway Corridor

The Gateway Corridor includes segments of I-80, Business 80, Highway 65, and Highway 50, as well as parallel local roadways, transit lines, and bikeways located within two miles of the corridor. Major transportation hubs include Sacramento International Airport, the Port of West Sacramento, the UPRR J.R. Davis Yard, and Sacramento Valley Station.

The Gateway Corridor serves a variety of transportation needs ranging from daily commute travel between Placer and Sacramento Counties to goods movement and recreational travel throughout Northern California and the western United States. As such, the Gateway Corridor poses a dynamic and complex operating environment for its users and operators.

To Yuba City

Sacramento International

Airport

To Redding

99

Auburn Municipal To Ren Airport To Yuba City 49 Lincoln Regiona Airport AUBURN Nicolaus Rd 65 193 LINCOLN Twelve Bridges Dr Industrial Ave LOOMIS King Rd Blue Oaks Blvd ROCKLIN Pleasant Grove Blvd ROSEVILLE **Baseline Rd** Douglas Blvd PLACER COUNTY SACRAMENTO COUNTY Elverta Rd Oak Ave **CITRUS** Elkhorn Blvd Ň HEIGHTS Greenback Ln Madison Ave Sacramento McClellan Airfield lazel To South Lake Tahoe El Camino Ave



## FIGURE ES-2 Existing Corridor Travel Options

Can corridor travelers easily con these trips using these options?	nplete	Private Vehicle	Cap Corri Rail	itol idor Bus	Light Rail	Bus	Walking	Bicycling
к)       	Distance		Ë				Ŗ	<b>A</b>
Peak hour commute from South Placer (Roseville, Rocklin, etc.) to Sacramento	20+ mi	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
Peak hour commute from Sacramento to South Placer	20+ mi	Yes	No	Yes	Νο	Yes	No	No
Off-peak travel between South Placer and Sacramento	20+ mi	Yes		No	No	No	No	No
Travel between Antelope and Sacramento	15 mi	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
Travel between Citrus Heights and Roseville	5 mi	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Travel between East Sacramento and Downtown	3 mi	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Capitol Corridor I limited to one rou Off-peak travel to is possible but reu bus services at Sa	rail service und-trip per and from quires trans	is r day. South Plac sfer to con Valley Stat	er necting		Po us m in	ossible, b se of infre ultiple co npractica	ut requires equent serv onnections I for comm	s vice and/o , making i nute trave

# Seat Utilization



### **Community Engagement**

The Gateway Plan engaged over 5,000 community members.

The Gateway Plan included the following community engagement activities to inform the public of the plan and solicit input for future corridor improvements:

- Monthly meetings with a project development team made up of the 14 agencies on the corridor.
- An online user survey, which generated over 4,200 responses.
- Two stakeholder meetings with participation from over 70 stakeholders groups.
- Two community workshops solicited both inperson and virtual feedback from the public.
- Ten pop-ups promoted the plan at community events and gatherings along the corridor.
- Targeted online and in-person outreach to disadvantaged communities.
- Altogether, the in-person outreach activities attracted over 800 participants.

### **Corridor Projects**

Altogether, the Gateway Plan includes nearly 150 multimodal transportation improvement projects along the study corridor, as shown in Figure ES-4.

### Roadway/Transportation Systems Management Improvements

- Business 80 express lanes, ramp metering, and interchange improvements
- I-80 express lanes, auxiliary lanes, ramp metering, and interchange improvements
- Highway 65 express lanes, ramp metering, and interchange improvements

- Local roadways widening and complete streets improvements
- Travel demand management programs

### **Transit Projects**

- Capitol Corridor Third Track Project (Phases 1 and 2) to provide up to ten round trips per day and station improvements
- Light rail transit upgrade Blue Line vehicle fleet and enhance Watt/I-80 Station
- Bus new bus rapid transit routes, new Lincolnto-Sacramento Commuter and intercity services, and expanded circulator bus, micro-transit, and neighborhood ride services

### **Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects**

- Closing gaps completed local and regional trail system
- Complete Streets enhanced bicycle and pedestrian facilities on major roadways
- Grade separations new freeway and river grade separations to improve bicycle and pedestrian connectivity

### **Plan Performance**

Figure ES-5 illustrates the performance of the Gateway Plan with respect to congestion/delay, accessibility, economic development, efficient land use, air quality, and safety. Specific performance measures were developed based on CTC requirements and refined based on community and agency values.

Corridor improvements aim to improve performance and close gaps in the regional roadway, transit, and active transportation networks.

# The Gateway Plan

This figure illustrates the nearly 150 multimodal transportation projects included in the Gateway Plan. Gateway Plan projects include improvements to roadways, transportation systems management programs/strategies, transit service and facilities, and active transportation facilities.

Improvement projects will improve corridor operations, increase travel choices, and close existing gaps in the existing multimodal transportation network.

To Yuba City

5

80

To Redding

To the Bay Area

99

To Los Angeles



El Camino Ave

50

Arden Way

SACRAMENTO

Roadway Project Transportation Systems Management Project Transit Project Bicycle/Pedestrian Project

# **Gateway Plan Performance Summary**



The Gateway Plan would reduce daily and peak hour person hours of delay (PHD) per capita on the corridor.

CORRIDOR PHD



## 8 of the 10

screenlines would experience decreased PHD during peak hours

The Gateway Plan would improve travel time reliability.

#### SCREENLINE RELIABILITY



### 33% increase

in reliability on Highway 65 at Galleria Boulevard

The Gateway Plan would increase person throughput.

#### TOTAL PERSON TRIPS

AM PM

The Gateway Plan would increase vehicle speeds.

#### SCREENLINE SPEEDS



## 10 of the 10

screenlines would experience increased speeds during peak hours

#### The Gateway Plan would increase transit seat utilization.



The Gateway Plan would decrease traffic in local neighborhoods, including several disadvantaged communities.

## 10 of the 10

screenlines would experience decreased VMT per capita related to neighborhood traffic – ~  $\rightarrow$  6 of the 10

screenline areas are disadvantaged communities

The Gateway Plan would decrease vehicle miles traveled (VMT) per capita on the Gateway Corridor by 17 percent.

VMT PER CAPITA

	EXISTING	GATEWAY PLAN			
GATEWAY CORRIDOR	31.8				
SACOG REGION	25.0 🔵 ——	26.5			

The Gateway Plan would improve the capacity and quality of transit service.

Improvements to: Capitol Corridor rail SacRT Blue Line LRT Regional intercity bus routes New BRT corridors

## 38% increase

in peak hour transit capacity serving the Gateway Corridor



The Gateway Plan would increase accessibility to reliable transit service.

#### PEOPLE WITHIN 1/2 MILE OF RELIABLE TRANSIT





# **Efficient Land Use**

- The Gateway Plan would reduce VMT per capita on the Gateway Corridor and througout the SACOG region.
- The Gateway Plan would increase bus and rail service to Downtown Sacramento, particularly from South Placer County communities.

# Economic Development

- The Gateway Plan would reduce truck travel times between South
  Placer County and Downtown Sacramento by 5 percent.
- The Gateway Plan would increase travel choices to tourist and recreational destinations by increasing transit options (e.g., Capitol Corridor) and by reducing peak period corridor delay, which allows for more trip-making flexibility.
- The Gateway Plan includes the Capitol Corridor Third Track Project, which will preserve current Union Pacific Railroad freight operations and reliability for the benefit of regional goods movement.
- Similar to the weekday benefits to corridor delays and speeds, the Gateway Plan would improve peak weekend travel times.

# CO<sub>2</sub> Air Quality

The Gateway Plan would decrease emissions in the SACOG region, including CO, NO<sub>x</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O.



The Gateway Plan would further the "Towards Zero Deaths" goal by reducing the risk for collisions by reducing congestion and, in turn, the potential for congestion- related collisions. The Gateway Plan would also reduce the risk for bicycle- and pedestrian-involved collisions by improving active transportation facilities, especially near freeways. Finally, the Gateway Plan would increase passenger rail and bus service, two of the modes with the lowest collision rates.



PLACER-SACRAMENTO GATEWAY PLAN